

Two-parameter Quantum Affine Algebra $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$, Drinfel'd Realization and Quantum Affine Lyndon Basis

Naihong Hu, Marc Rosso, and Honglian Zhang

ABSTRACT. We further define two-parameter quantum affine algebra $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ ($n > 2$) after the work on the finite cases (see [BW1], [BGH1], [HS] & [BH]), which turns out to be a Drinfel'd double. Of importance for the quantum *affine* cases is that we can work out the compatible two-parameter version of the Drinfel'd realization as a quantum affinization of $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ and establish the Drinfel'd isomorphism Theorem in the two-parameter setting, via developing a new combinatorial approach (quantum calculation) to the quantum *affine* Lyndon basis we present (with an explicit valid algorithm based on the use of Drinfel'd generators).

1. Introduction

1.1 In 2001, Benkart-Witherspoon investigated the structures of two-parameter quantum groups $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n$, or $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ in [BW1] originally obtained by Takeuchi [T], and the finite-dimensional weight representation theory in [BW2], and further obtained some new finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras in [BW3] when rs^{-1} is a root of unity, which possess new ribbon elements under some conditions (and will yield new invariants of knots and links). These show that two-parameter quantum groups are well worth further studying.

1.2 In 2004, Bergeron-Gao-Hu [BGH1] gave the structures of two-parameter quantum groups $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$, and developed in [BGH2] the highest weight representation theory when rs^{-1} is not a root of unity. Especially, [BGH1] explored the environment condition upon which the Lusztig's symmetries exist for the classical simple Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$, namely, they exist as $\mathbb{Q}$ -isomorphisms between $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ and the associated object $U_{s^{-1},r^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})$ only when $\text{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) = 2$, and in the case when $\text{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) > 2$, the sufficient and necessary condition for the existence of Lusztig's symmetries between $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ and its associated object forces $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ to take the “one-parameter” form $U_{q,q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})$ where $r = s^{-1} = q$. In other words, when

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B37, 81R50; Secondary 17B35.

Key words and phrases. Quantum affine algebra, Drinfel'd realization, Drinfel'd isomorphism, quantum “affine” Lyndon basis.

N.H., supported in part by the NNSF (Grant 10431040), the TRAPOYT and the FUDP from the MOE of China, the SRSTP from the STCSM, die Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), as well as an ICTP long-term visiting scholarship. H.Z., supported by a Ph.D. Program Scholarship Fund of ECNU 2006.

$\text{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) > 2$, the Lusztig's symmetries exist only for the one-parameter quantum groups $U_{q,q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})$ as $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -automorphisms (rather merely as $\mathbb{Q}$ -isomorphisms). In this case, these symmetries give rise to, with respect to modulo some identification of group-like elements, the usual Lusztig symmetries on quantum groups $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ of Drinfel'd-Jimbo type. The Lusztig symmetry property indicates that there do exist the remarkable differences between the two-parameter quantum groups in question and the one-parameter quantum groups of Drinfel'd-Jimbo type. Afterwards, Hu-Shi [HS] and Bai-Hu [BH] studied the two-parameter quantum groups for type G_2 and E cases. Through the work, we found that the treatments in two-parameter cases are frequently more subtle to follow combinatorial approaches only, for instance, the description of the convex PBW-type basis (cf. [BH]) has to appeal to the use of Lyndon words (see [R2] and references therein) because there is no braid group available in question.

Thereby so far, it seems desirable to extend these kind of the two-parameter quantum groups in the Benkart-Witherspoon's sense in finite cases to the affine cases. The present paper is aimed at this purpose for the affine type $A_n^{(1)}$ ($n > 1$) case. To this end, we first give the defining structure of $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ ($n > 2$) (whereas $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ is essentially isomorphic to $U_{q,q^{-1}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ if set $rs^{-1} = q^2$, which is not considered in the paper).

1.3 As is well-known, the importance of the Drinfel'd generators (in the Drinfel'd realization) for quantum affine algebras is just like that of the loop generators (in the loop realization) for affine Kac-Moody algebras (see [Ga], [K]). Early in 1987, Drinfel'd [Dr2] put forward his famous new (conjectural) realization of quantum affine algebras $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ with $\mathfrak{g}$ semisimple, because he recognized that the study of finite dimensional representations of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is made easier by the use of this realization on the set of Drinfel'd generators, which is called the Drinfel'd realization of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ or the Drinfel'd quantum affinization of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. Besides this, the Drinfel'd realization also finds its main contribution to the construction of vertex representations for quantum affine algebras $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ (see [FJ], [J1], [DI2], etc.), as does the loop realization in the vertex representation theory of affine Kac-Moody algebras (see [K]). In 1993, Khoroshkin-Tolstoy [KT] constructed the Drinfel'd realization for the untwisted types using a Cartan-Weyl generators system with no proof. The first perfect proof of the Drinfel'd isomorphism only for the untwisted types was given by Beck [B2] till 1994 making use of his extended braid group actions, based on the work of Damiani [Da], Levendorskii-Soibelman-Stukopin [LSS] for the case $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$. In 1998, Jing [J2] basically adopted the inverse map suggested by Beck for the untwisted types (see the final remark in [B2, Section 4]) and gave a combinatorial proof for the Drinfel'd isomorphism for the untwisted types.

1.4 In order to further explore and enrich the structure and representation theory of the two-parameter quantum affine algebras later on, the another main result of this paper is to give the Drinfel'd realization of $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ ($n > 2$). Its definition depends on the self-compatible defining system (Definition 3.1), which in the two-parameter setting, varies dramatically in comparison with the one-parameter cases (see [Dr2], or [B2, Theorem 4.7]) and is nontrivial to match up here and there the whole relations together. Indeed, to invent the two-parameter version of Drinfel'd realization needs some insights, e.g., from the antisymmetric point of view via the $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra

antiautomorphism τ , based on some information from the combinatorial description of the convex PBW-type basis via the Lyndon words (see [R2], [BH], etc.), and also, the proof of the Drinfel'd isomorphism in our case depends completely on the combinatorial approach with specific techniques to design those defining relations in order to fit the compatibilities in the whole system. If the readers go with us into the details, they will find how our quantum calculations (in somehow a bit tedious) work well and necessarily for exactly verifying the compatibilities of the defining system. The reason is that the method we expanded, to some extent, essentially follows an approach to a kind of description of the quantum “affine” Lyndon basis. Actually, we can construct explicitly all quantum real and imaginary root vectors using this method (see Lemmas 4.7 & 4.8, together with Definition 3.9).

1.5 The paper is organized as follows. We first give the structure of two-parameter quantum affine algebra $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ ($n > 2$) as Hopf algebra in Section 2. We prove that two-parameter quantum affine algebra $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ is characterized as Drinfel'd double $\mathcal{D}(\widehat{\mathcal{B}}, \widehat{\mathcal{B}}')$ of Hopf subalgebras $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}, \widehat{\mathcal{B}}'$ with respect to a skew-dual pairing. In Section 3, we explicitly describe the two-parameter Drinfel'd quantum affinization of $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ ($n > 2$), that is, the Drinfel'd realization in two-parameter case which is antisymmetric with respect to the $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra antiautomorphism τ . In the case when $rs = 1$, i.e., $r = s^{-1} = q$, our result modulo some identification yields the usual Drinfel'd realization of quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ of Drinfel'd-Jimbo type (see [Dr2], [B2], [DI1], [J2], etc.). Since Beck's extended braid group actions approach is invalid for our case, we combine the Lyndon words description ([R2]) with the quantum Lie bracket operation ([J2]) to develop a combinatorial trick in the quantum affine case (we call it quantum calculations), which can be utilized in the construction of all the quantum root vectors (including real and imaginary ones), so that we can formulate and prove the quantum “affine” Lyndon basis for the first time (in a more explicit form than that of [B1]) for $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}^\pm)$ based on the Drinfel'd realization in Section 3, and further prove the Drinfel'd isomorphism using our combinatorial algorithm in Section 4. In fact, our proof also provides a concrete process of how to construct the Drinfel'd generators using the Chevalley-Kac-Lusztig generators.

2. Quantum Affine Algebra $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ and Drinfel'd Double

2.1 Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(r, s)$ denote a field of rational functions with two-parameters r, s ($r \neq \pm s$). Assume Φ is a finite root system of type A_{n-1} with Π a base of simple roots. Regard Φ as a subset of a Euclidean space $E = \mathbb{R}^n$ with an inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$. Set $I = \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, $I_0 = \{0\} \cup I$. Let $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ denote an orthonormal basis of E , then we can take $\Pi = \{\alpha_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1} \mid i \in I\}$ and $\Phi = \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j \mid i \neq j \in I\}$. Let δ denote the primitive imaginary root of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n$. Take $\alpha_0 = \delta - (\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_n)$, then $\Pi' = \{\alpha_i \mid i \in I_0\}$ is a base of simple roots of affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n$.

Let $A = (a_{ij})$ ($i, j \in I_0$) be a generalized Cartan matrix associated to affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n$. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with a basis $\{h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{n-1}, d\}$ and define the linear action of α_i ($i \in I_0$) on $\mathfrak{h}$ by

$$\alpha_i(h_j) = a_{ji}, \quad \alpha_i(d) = \delta_{i,0}, \quad \text{for } j \in I_0.$$

Let $Q = \mathbb{Z}\alpha_0 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\alpha_{n-1}$ denote the root lattice of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n$. The standard nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\mathfrak{h}^*$ satisfies

$$(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = a_{ij}, \quad (\delta, \alpha_i) = (\delta, \delta) = 0, \quad \forall i, j \in I_0.$$

DEFINITION 2.1. Let $U = U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ ($n > 2$) be the unital associative algebra over $\mathbb{K}$ generated by the elements $e_j, f_j, \omega_j^{\pm 1}, \omega'_j{}^{\pm 1}$ ($j \in I_0$), $\gamma^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}, \gamma'^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}, D^{\pm 1}, D'^{\pm 1}$ (called the Chevalley-Kac-Lusztig generators), satisfying the following relations:

(A1) $\gamma^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}, \gamma'^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}$ are central with $\gamma = \omega_\delta, \gamma' = \omega'_\delta, \gamma\gamma' = rs$, such that $\omega_i \omega_i^{-1} = \omega'_i \omega'_i{}^{-1} = 1 = DD^{-1} = D'D'^{-1}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} [\omega_i^{\pm 1}, \omega_j^{\pm 1}] &= [\omega_i^{\pm 1}, D^{\pm 1}] = [\omega_j^{\pm 1}, D^{\pm 1}] = [\omega_i^{\pm 1}, D'^{\pm 1}] = 0 \\ &= [\omega_i^{\pm 1}, \omega'_j{}^{\pm 1}] = [\omega_j^{\pm 1}, D'^{\pm 1}] = [D'^{\pm 1}, D^{\pm 1}] = [\omega_i^{\pm 1}, \omega'_j{}^{\pm 1}]. \end{aligned}$$

(A2) For $i \in I_0$ and $j \in I$,

$$\begin{aligned} D e_i D^{-1} &= r^{\delta_{0i}} e_i, & D f_i D^{-1} &= r^{-\delta_{0i}} f_i, \\ \omega_j e_i \omega_j^{-1} &= r^{(\varepsilon_j, \alpha_i)} s^{(\varepsilon_{j+1}, \alpha_i)} e_i, & \omega_j f_i \omega_j^{-1} &= r^{-(\varepsilon_j, \alpha_i)} s^{-(\varepsilon_{j+1}, \alpha_i)} f_i, \\ \omega_0 e_i \omega_0^{-1} &= r^{-(\varepsilon_{i+1}, \alpha_0)} s^{(\varepsilon_1, \alpha_i)} e_i, & \omega_0 f_i \omega_0^{-1} &= r^{(\varepsilon_{i+1}, \alpha_0)} s^{-(\varepsilon_1, \alpha_i)} f_i. \end{aligned}$$

(A3) For $i \in I_0$ and $j \in I$,

$$\begin{aligned} D' e_i D'^{-1} &= s^{\delta_{0i}} e_i, & D' f_i D'^{-1} &= s^{-\delta_{0i}} f_i, \\ \omega'_j e_i \omega'_j{}^{-1} &= s^{(\varepsilon_j, \alpha_i)} r^{(\varepsilon_{j+1}, \alpha_i)} e_i, & \omega'_j f_i \omega'_j{}^{-1} &= s^{-(\varepsilon_j, \alpha_i)} r^{-(\varepsilon_{j+1}, \alpha_i)} f_i, \\ \omega'_0 e_i \omega'_0{}^{-1} &= s^{-(\varepsilon_{i+1}, \alpha_0)} r^{(\varepsilon_1, \alpha_i)} e_i, & \omega'_0 f_i \omega'_0{}^{-1} &= s^{(\varepsilon_{i+1}, \alpha_0)} r^{-(\varepsilon_1, \alpha_i)} f_i. \end{aligned}$$

(A4) For $i, j \in I_0$, we have

$$[e_i, f_j] = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{r-s} (\omega_i - \omega'_i).$$

(A5) For $i, j \in I_0$, but $(i, j) \notin \{(0, n-1), (n-1, 0)\}$ with $a_{ij} = 0$, we have

$$[e_i, e_j] = 0 = [f_i, f_j].$$

(A6) For $i \in I_0$, we have the (r, s) -Serre relations:

$$\begin{aligned} e_i^2 e_{i+1} - (r+s) e_i e_{i+1} e_i + (rs) e_{i+1} e_i^2 &= 0, \\ e_i e_{i+1}^2 - (r+s) e_{i+1} e_i e_{i+1} + (rs) e_{i+1}^2 e_i &= 0, \\ e_{n-1}^2 e_0 - (r+s) e_{n-1} e_0 e_{n-1} + (rs) e_0 e_{n-1}^2 &= 0, \\ e_{n-1} e_0^2 - (r+s) e_0 e_{n-1} e_0 + (rs) e_0^2 e_{n-1} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

(A7) For $i \in I_0$, we have the (r, s) -Serre relations:

$$\begin{aligned} f_i^2 f_{i+1} - (r^{-1} + s^{-1}) f_i f_{i+1} f_i + (r^{-1} s^{-1}) f_{i+1} f_i^2 &= 0, \\ f_i f_{i+1}^2 - (r^{-1} + s^{-1}) f_{i+1} f_i f_{i+1} + (r^{-1} s^{-1}) f_{i+1}^2 f_i &= 0, \\ f_{n-1}^2 f_0 - (r^{-1} + s^{-1}) f_{n-1} f_0 f_{n-1} + (r^{-1} s^{-1}) f_0 f_{n-1}^2 &= 0, \\ f_{n-1} f_0^2 - (r^{-1} + s^{-1}) f_0 f_{n-1} f_0 + (r^{-1} s^{-1}) f_0^2 f_{n-1} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

$U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ is a Hopf algebra with the coproduct Δ , the counit ε and the antipode S defined below: for $i \in I_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}) &= \gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}, & \Delta(\gamma'^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}) &= \gamma'^{\pm\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \gamma'^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \Delta(D^{\pm 1}) &= D^{\pm 1} \otimes D^{\pm 1}, & \Delta(D'^{\pm 1}) &= D'^{\pm 1} \otimes D'^{\pm 1}, \\ \Delta(w_i) &= w_i \otimes w_i, & \Delta(w'_i) &= w'_i \otimes w'_i, \\ \Delta(e_i) &= e_i \otimes 1 + w_i \otimes e_i, & \Delta(f_i) &= f_i \otimes w'_i + 1 \otimes f_i, \\ \varepsilon(e_i) &= \varepsilon(f_i) = 0, & \varepsilon(\gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}) &= \varepsilon(\gamma'^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}) = \varepsilon(D^{\pm 1}) = \varepsilon(D'^{\pm 1}) = \varepsilon(w_i) = \varepsilon(w'_i) = 1, \\ S(\gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}) &= \gamma^{\mp\frac{1}{2}}, & S(\gamma'^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}) &= \gamma'^{\mp\frac{1}{2}}, & S(D^{\pm 1}) &= D^{\mp 1}, & S(D'^{\pm 1}) &= D'^{\mp 1}, \\ S(e_i) &= -w_i^{-1}e_i, & S(f_i) &= -f_i w_i^{-1}, & S(w_i) &= w_i^{-1}, & S(w'_i) &= w_i'^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

2.2 In what follows, we give the skew-pairing and the Drinfel'd double structure.

DEFINITION 2.2. A bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathfrak{B} \times \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is called a skew-dual pairing of two Hopf algebras $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ (see [KS, 8.2.1]), if it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \langle b, 1_{\mathfrak{A}} \rangle &= \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{B}}(b), & \langle 1_{\mathfrak{B}}, a \rangle &= \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{A}}(a), \\ \langle b, a_1 a_2 \rangle &= \langle \Delta_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\text{op}}(b), a_1 \otimes a_2 \rangle, & \langle b_1 b_2, a \rangle &= \langle b_1 \otimes b_2, \Delta_{\mathfrak{A}}(a) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, a_1, a_2 \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $b, b_1, b_2 \in \mathfrak{B}$, where $\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{A}}, \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{B}}$ denote the counites of $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}$, respectively, and $\Delta_{\mathfrak{A}}, \Delta_{\mathfrak{B}}$ are the respective coproducts.

DEFINITION 2.3. For any two Hopf algebras $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ skew-paired by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, there exists a Drinfel'd quantum double $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ which is a Hopf algebra whose underlying coalgebra is $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$ with the tensor product coalgebra structure, whose algebra structure is defined by

$$(a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b') = \sum \langle S_{\mathfrak{B}}(b_{(1)}), a'_{(1)} \rangle \langle b_{(3)}, a'_{(3)} \rangle a a'_{(2)} \otimes b_{(2)} b',$$

for $a, a' \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $b, b' \in \mathfrak{B}$, and whose antipode S is given by

$$S(a \otimes b) = (1 \otimes S_{\mathfrak{B}}(b))(S_{\mathfrak{A}}(a) \otimes 1).$$

Let $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ (resp. $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}'$) denote the Hopf (Borel-type) subalgebra of $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ generated by $e_j, \omega_j^{\pm 1}, \gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}, D^{\pm 1}$ (resp. $f_j, \omega'_j{}^{\pm 1}, \gamma'^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}, D'^{\pm 1}$) with $j \in I_0$.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *There exists a unique skew-dual pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \widehat{\mathcal{B}}' \times \widehat{\mathcal{B}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$ of the Hopf subalgebras $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}'$ such that:*

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & \langle f_i, e_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} \frac{1}{s-r}, & (i, j \in I_0) \\ (2) \quad & \langle \omega'_i, \omega_j \rangle = \begin{cases} r^{(\varepsilon_j, \alpha_i)} s^{(\varepsilon_{j+1}, \alpha_i)}, & (i \in I_0, j \in I) \\ r^{-(\varepsilon_{i+1}, \alpha_0)} s^{(\varepsilon_1, \alpha_i)}, & (i \in I_0, j = 0) \end{cases} \\ (3) \quad & \langle \omega_i'^{\pm 1}, \omega_j^{-1} \rangle = \langle \omega_i'^{\pm 1}, \omega_j \rangle^{-1} = \langle \omega'_i, \omega_j \rangle^{\mp 1}, & (i, j \in I_0) \\ (4) \quad & \langle \gamma'^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}, \gamma \rangle = \langle \gamma', \gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}} \rangle = \langle \gamma', \gamma \rangle^{\pm\frac{1}{2}} = 1, \\ (5) \quad & \langle D', D \rangle^{\pm 1} = \langle D'^{\pm 1}, D \rangle = \langle D', D^{\pm 1} \rangle = 1, \\ (6) \quad & \langle \gamma'^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}, \omega_i^{\pm 1} \rangle = 1 = \langle \omega_i'^{\pm 1}, \gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}} \rangle, & (i \in I_0) \\ (7) \quad & \langle D'^{\pm 1}, \omega_i \rangle = \langle D', \omega_i^{\pm 1} \rangle = s^{\mp \delta_{0i}}, & \langle \omega_i'^{\pm 1}, D \rangle = \langle \omega'_i, D^{\pm 1} \rangle = r^{\pm \delta_{0i}}, & (i \in I_0) \\ (8) \quad & \langle D', \gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}} \rangle = \langle D'^{\pm 1}, \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} \rangle = s^{\mp\frac{1}{2}}, & \langle \gamma'^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}, D \rangle = \langle \gamma'^{\frac{1}{2}}, D^{\pm 1} \rangle = r^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and all others pairs of generators are 0. Moreover, we have $\langle S(b'), S(b) \rangle = \langle b', b \rangle$ for $b' \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}'$, $b \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}$.

PROOF. The uniqueness assertion is clear, as any skew-dual pairing of bialgebras is determined by the values on the generators. We proceed to prove the existence of the pairing.

The pairing defined on generators as (1)–(8) may be extended to a bilinear form on $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}' \times \widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ in a way such that the defining properties in Definition 2.2 hold. We will verify that the relations in $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}'$ are preserved, ensuring that the form is well-defined and is a skew-dual pairing of $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}'$.

First, it is straightforward to check that the bilinear form preserves all the relations among the $\omega_i^{\pm 1}$, $\gamma^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}$, $D^{\pm 1}$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ and the $\omega_i'^{\pm 1}$, $\gamma'^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}$, $D'^{\pm 1}$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}'$. Next, we observe that the identities hold: for $i, j \in I$,

$$(2.1) \quad (\varepsilon_j, \alpha_i) = -(\varepsilon_{i+1}, \alpha_j), \quad (\varepsilon_j, \alpha_0) = -(\varepsilon_1, \alpha_j),$$

which ensure the compatibility of the form defined above with the relations of (A2) and (A3) in $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ or $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}'$ respectively. This fact is easily checked by definition (see (1)–(8)). So we are left to verify that the form preserves the (r, s) -Serre relations in $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}'$.

For $1 \leq i < n$, (r, s) -Serre relations in $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}'$ have been checked in [BW1]. Here we need only to verify the relations involving index $i = 0$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}'$. It suffices to consider the following case (the remaining case is similar)

$$\langle X, e_0^2 e_{n-1} - (r^{-1} + s^{-1}) e_0 e_{n-1} e_0 + (rs)^{-1} e_{n-1} e_0^2 \rangle,$$

where X is any word in the generators of $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}'$. By definition, this equals

$$(2.2) \quad \langle \Delta^{(2)}(X), e_0 \otimes e_0 \otimes e_{n-1} - (r^{-1} + s^{-1}) e_0 \otimes e_{n-1} \otimes e_0 + (rs)^{-1} e_{n-1} \otimes e_0 \otimes e_0 \rangle,$$

where Δ stands for $\Delta_{\mathcal{B}'}^{\text{op}}$. In order for any one of these terms to be nonzero, X must involve exactly two f_0 factors, one f_{n-1} factor, and arbitrarily many $\omega_j'^{\pm 1}$ ($j \in I_0$), $\gamma'^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}$, or $D'^{\pm 1}$ factors. For simplicity, we first consider three key cases:

(i) If $X = f_0^2 f_{n-1}$, then $\Delta^{(2)}(X)$ is equal to

$$(\omega'_0 \otimes \omega'_0 \otimes f_0 + \omega'_0 \otimes f_0 \otimes 1 + f_0 \otimes 1 \otimes 1)^2 (\omega'_{n-1} \otimes \omega'_{n-1} \otimes f_{n-1} + \omega'_{n-1} \otimes f_{n-1} \otimes 1 + f_{n-1} \otimes 1 \otimes 1).$$

The relevant terms of $\Delta^{(2)}(X)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} & f_0 \omega'_0 \omega'_{n-1} \otimes f_0 \omega'_{n-1} \otimes f_{n-1} + \omega'_0 f_0 \omega'_{n-1} \otimes f_0 \omega'_{n-1} \otimes f_{n-1} \\ & + f_0 \omega'_0 \omega'_{n-1} \otimes \omega'_0 f_{n-1} \otimes f_0 + \omega'_0 f_0 \omega'_{n-1} \otimes \omega'_0 f_{n-1} \otimes f_0 \\ & + \omega_0'^2 f_{n-1} \otimes f_0 \omega'_0 \otimes f_0 + \omega_0'^2 f_{n-1} \otimes \omega'_0 f_0 \otimes f_0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (2.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle f_0 \omega'_0 \omega'_{n-1}, e_0 \rangle \langle f_0 \omega'_{n-1}, e_0 \rangle \langle f_{n-1}, e_{n-1} \rangle \\
& + \langle \omega'_0 f_0 \omega'_{n-1}, e_0 \rangle \langle f_0 \omega'_{n-1}, e_0 \rangle \langle f_{n-1}, e_{n-1} \rangle \\
& - (r^{-1} + s^{-1}) (\langle f_0 \omega'_0 \omega'_{n-1}, e_0 \rangle \langle \omega'_0 f_{n-1}, e_{n-1} \rangle \langle f_0, e_0 \rangle \\
& + \langle \omega'_0 f_0 \omega'_{n-1}, e_0 \rangle \langle \omega'_0 f_{n-1}, e_{n-1} \rangle \langle f_0, e_0 \rangle) \\
& + (rs)^{-1} (\langle \omega_0'^2 f_{n-1}, e_{n-1} \rangle \langle f_0 \omega'_0, e_0 \rangle \langle f_0, e_0 \rangle \\
& + \langle \omega_0'^2 f_{n-1}, e_{n-1} \rangle \langle \omega'_0 f_0, e_0 \rangle \langle f_0, e_0 \rangle) \\
& = \frac{1}{(s-r)^3} \{ 1 + \langle \omega'_0, \omega_0 \rangle - (r^{-1} + s^{-1}) (\langle \omega'_0, \omega_{n-1} \rangle + \langle \omega'_0, \omega_0 \rangle \langle \omega'_0, \omega_{n-1} \rangle) \\
& + (rs)^{-1} (\langle \omega'_0, \omega_{n-1} \rangle^2 + \langle \omega'_0, \omega_{n-1} \rangle^2 \langle \omega'_0, \omega_0 \rangle) \} \\
& = \frac{1}{(s-r)^3} \{ 1 + rs^{-1} - (r^{-1} + s^{-1})(s + rs^{-1}s) + (rs)^{-1}(s^2 + s^2 rs^{-1}) \} \\
& = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) When $X = f_0 f_{n-1} f_0$, it is easy to get the relevant terms of $\Delta^{(2)}(X)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \omega'_0 \omega'_{n-1} f_0 \otimes f_0 \omega'_{n-1} \otimes f_{n-1} + f_0 \omega'_{n-1} \omega'_0 \otimes \omega'_{n-1} f_0 \otimes f_{n-1} \\
& + \omega'_0 \omega'_{n-1} f_0 \otimes \omega'_0 f_{n-1} \otimes f_0 + f_0 \omega'_{n-1} \omega'_0 \otimes f_{n-1} \omega'_0 \otimes f_0 \\
& + \omega'_0 f_{n-1} \omega'_0 \otimes \omega'_0 f_0 \otimes f_0 + \omega'_0 f_{n-1} \omega'_0 \otimes f_0 \omega'_0 \otimes f_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, (2.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{(s-r)^3} \{ \langle \omega'_0, \omega_0 \rangle \langle \omega'_{n-1}, \omega_0 \rangle + \langle \omega'_{n-1}, \omega_0 \rangle \\
& - (r^{-1} + s^{-1}) (\langle \omega'_0, \omega_0 \rangle \langle \omega'_{n-1}, \omega_0 \rangle \langle \omega'_0, \omega_{n-1} \rangle + 1) \\
& + (rs)^{-1} (\langle \omega'_0, \omega_{n-1} \rangle \langle \omega'_0, \omega_0 \rangle + \langle \omega'_0, \omega_{n-1} \rangle) \} \\
& = \frac{1}{(s-r)^3} \{ rs^{-1} \cdot r^{-1} + r^{-1} - (r^{-1} + s^{-1})(rs^{-1} \cdot r^{-1}s + 1) + (rs)^{-1}(s \cdot rs^{-1} + s) \} \\
& = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

(iii) If $X = f_{n-1} f_0^2$, one can similarly get that (2.2) vanishes.

Finally, if X is any word involving exactly two f_0 factors, one f_{n-1} factor, and arbitrarily many factors $\omega_j^{\pm 1}$ ($j \in I_0$), $\gamma'^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}$ and $D'^{\pm 1}$, then (2.2) will just be a scalar multiple of one of the quantities we have already calculated, and then will be 0.

Analogous calculations show that the relations in $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}'$ are preserved. $\square$

THEOREM 2.5. $\mathcal{D}(\widehat{\mathcal{B}}, \widehat{\mathcal{B}}')$ is isomorphic to $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ as Hopf algebras.

PROOF. We denote the image $e_i \otimes 1$ of e_i in $\mathcal{D}(\widehat{\mathcal{B}}, \widehat{\mathcal{B}}')$ by $\widehat{e}_i$ and similarly for $\omega_i^{\pm 1}, \gamma^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}, D^{\pm 1}$, denote the image $1 \otimes f_i$ of f_i in $\mathcal{D}(\widehat{\mathcal{B}}, \widehat{\mathcal{B}}')$ by $\widehat{f}_i$ and similarly for $\omega_i^{\pm 1}, \gamma'^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}, D'^{\pm 1}$. Define a map $\varphi : \mathcal{D}(\widehat{\mathcal{B}}, \widehat{\mathcal{B}}') \longrightarrow U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varphi(\widehat{e}_i) = e_i, \quad \varphi(\widehat{f}_i) = f_i, \quad \varphi(\widehat{\omega}_i^{\pm 1}) = \omega_i^{\pm 1}, \quad \varphi(\widehat{\omega}'_i^{\pm 1}) = \omega_i^{\prime \pm 1}, \\
& \varphi(\widehat{\gamma}^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}) = \gamma^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}, \quad \varphi(\widehat{\gamma}'^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}) = \gamma'^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}, \quad \varphi(\widehat{D}^{\pm 1}) = D^{\pm 1}, \quad \varphi(\widehat{D}'^{\pm 1}) = D'^{\pm 1}.
\end{aligned}$$

The remaining argument is analogous to that of [BGH1, Theorem 2.5]. $\square$

REMARK 2.6. (1) Up to now, we have completely solved the compatibility problem on the defining relations of our two-parameter quantum affine algebra $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ ($n > 2$). This is done in two steps: the proof of Theorem 2.5 indicates that the cross relations between $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}'$ are half of the relations (A1)–(A4), and the proof of Proposition 2.4 shows the remaining relations, including the remaining half of relations (A1)–(A4) and the (r, s) -Serre relations (A5)–(A7).

(2) When $r = s^{-1} = q$, the Hopf algebra $U_{q,q^{-1}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ modulo the Hopf ideal generated by the set $\{\omega'_i - \omega_i^{-1} \ (i \in I_0), \gamma'^{\frac{1}{2}} - \gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}}, D' - D^{-1}\}$ is the usual quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ of Drinfel'd-Jimbo type.

Let $U^0 = \mathbb{K}[\omega_0^{\pm 1}, \dots, \omega_n^{\pm 1}, \omega'_0{}^{\pm 1}, \dots, \omega'_n{}^{\pm 1}]$, $U_0 = \mathbb{K}[\omega_0^{\pm 1}, \dots, \omega_n^{\pm 1}]$, and $U'_0 = \mathbb{K}[\omega'_0{}^{\pm 1}, \dots, \omega'_n{}^{\pm 1}]$ denote the Laurent polynomial subalgebras of $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$, $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$, and $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}'$ respectively. Clearly, $U^0 = U_0 U'_0 = U'_0 U_0$. Furthermore, let us denote by $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}})$ (resp. $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^-)$) the subalgebra of $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ (resp. $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}'$) generated by e_i (resp. f_i) for all $i \in I_0$. Thus, by definition, we have $\widehat{\mathcal{B}} = U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}) \rtimes U_0$, and $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}' = U'_0 \rtimes U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^-)$, so that the double $\mathcal{D}(\widehat{\mathcal{B}}, \widehat{\mathcal{B}}') \cong U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}) \otimes U^0 \otimes U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^-)$, as vector spaces. On the other hand, if we consider $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^- : \widehat{\mathcal{B}}' \times \widehat{\mathcal{B}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$ by $\langle b', b \rangle^- := \langle S(b'), b \rangle$, the convolution inverse of the skew-dual pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ in Proposition 2.4, the composition with the flip mapping σ then gives rise to a new skew-dual pairing $\langle | \rangle := \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^- \circ \sigma : \widehat{\mathcal{B}} \times \widehat{\mathcal{B}}' \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$, given by $\langle b | b' \rangle = \langle S(b'), b \rangle$. As a byproduct of Theorem 2.5, similar to [BGH1, Coro. 2.6], we get the standard triangular decomposition of $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$.

COROLLARY 2.7. $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n) \cong U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^-) \otimes U^0 \otimes U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}})$, as vector spaces. $\square$

COROLLARY 2.8. For any $\zeta = \sum_{i=0}^n \zeta_i \alpha_i \in Q$ (the root lattice of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n$), the defining relations (A2) and (A3) in $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ take the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\zeta e_i \omega_\zeta^{-1} &= \langle \omega'_i, \omega_\zeta \rangle e_i, & \omega_\zeta f_i \omega_\zeta^{-1} &= \langle \omega'_i, \omega_\zeta \rangle^{-1} f_i, \\ \omega'_\zeta e_i \omega'_\zeta{}^{-1} &= \langle \omega'_\zeta, \omega_i \rangle^{-1} e_i, & \omega'_\zeta f_i \omega'_\zeta{}^{-1} &= \langle \omega'_\zeta, \omega_i \rangle f_i. \end{aligned}$$

$U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^\pm) = \bigoplus_{\eta \in Q^+} U_{r,s}^{\pm\eta}(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^\pm)$ is then $Q^\pm$ -graded with

$$U_{r,s}^\eta(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^\pm) = \left\{ a \in U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^\pm) \mid \omega_\zeta a \omega_\zeta^{-1} = \langle \omega'_\eta, \omega_\zeta \rangle a, \ \omega'_\zeta a \omega'_\zeta{}^{-1} = \langle \omega'_\zeta, \omega_\eta \rangle^{-1} a \right\},$$

for $\eta \in Q^+ \cup Q^-$.

Furthermore, $U = \bigoplus_{\eta \in Q} U_{r,s}^\eta(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ is Q -graded with

$$\begin{aligned} U_{r,s}^\eta(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n) &= \left\{ \sum F_\alpha \omega'_\mu \omega_\nu E_\beta \in U \mid \omega_\zeta (F_\alpha \omega'_\mu \omega_\nu E_\beta) \omega_\zeta^{-1} = \langle \omega'_{\beta-\alpha}, \omega_\zeta \rangle F_\alpha \omega'_\mu \omega_\nu E_\beta, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \omega'_\zeta (F_\alpha \omega'_\mu \omega_\nu E_\beta) \omega'_\zeta{}^{-1} = \langle \omega'_\zeta, \omega_{\beta-\alpha} \rangle^{-1} F_\alpha \omega'_\mu \omega_\nu E_\beta, \text{ with } \beta - \alpha = \eta \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where F_α (resp. E_β) runs over monomials $f_{i_1} \cdots f_{i_l}$ (resp. $e_{j_1} \cdots e_{j_m}$) such that $\alpha_{i_1} + \cdots + \alpha_{i_l} = \alpha$ (resp. $\alpha_{j_1} + \cdots + \alpha_{j_m} = \beta$). $\square$

DEFINITION 2.9. Let τ be the $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra anti-automorphism of $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ such that $\tau(r) = s$, $\tau(s) = r$, $\tau(\langle \omega'_i, \omega_j \rangle^{\pm 1}) = \langle \omega'_j, \omega_i \rangle^{\mp 1}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(e_i) &= f_i, & \tau(f_i) &= e_i, & \tau(\omega_i) &= \omega'_i, & \tau(\omega'_i) &= \omega_i, \\ \tau(\gamma) &= \gamma', & \tau(\gamma') &= \gamma, & \tau(D) &= D', & \tau(D') &= D. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}' = \tau(\widehat{\mathcal{B}})$ with those induced defining relations from $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$, and those cross relations in (A2)–(A4) are antisymmetric with respect to τ . $\square$

3. Drinfel'd Realization of $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ and Quantum Affine Lyndon Basis

3.1 For the two-parameter quantum affine algebra $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ ($n > 2$) we defined in Section 2, we give the following definition of its Drinfel'd realization. In the two-parameter case, the defining relations (D2), (D6), (D7) and (D8) below appear to vary dramatically in comparison with the one-parameter cases (see (d2), (d6), (d7) and (d8) in Remark 3.3), where the compatibilities for the whole system are based on some intrinsic considerations as indicated in the sequel.

We briefly write $\langle i, j \rangle := \langle \omega'_i, \omega_j \rangle$.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ ($n > 2$) be the unital associative algebra over $\mathbb{K}$ generated by the elements $x_i^\pm(k)$, $a_i(\ell)$, $\omega_i^{\pm 1}$, $\omega'_i{}^{\pm 1}$, $\gamma^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}$, $\gamma'^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}$, $D^{\pm 1}$, $D'^{\pm 1}$ ($i \in I$, $k, k' \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\ell, \ell' \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$), subject to the following defining relations:

(D1) $\gamma^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}$, $\gamma'^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}$ are central with $\gamma\gamma' = rs$, $\omega_i \omega_i^{-1} = \omega'_j \omega'_j{}^{-1} = 1 = DD^{-1} = D'D'^{-1}$ ($i, j \in I$), and

$$\begin{aligned} [\omega_i^{\pm 1}, \omega_j^{\pm 1}] &= [\omega_i^{\pm 1}, D^{\pm 1}] = [\omega_j^{\pm 1}, D^{\pm 1}] = [\omega_i^{\pm 1}, D'^{\pm 1}] = 0 \\ &= [\omega_i^{\pm 1}, \omega'_j{}^{\pm 1}] = [\omega_j^{\pm 1}, D'^{\pm 1}] = [D'^{\pm 1}, D^{\pm 1}] = [\omega_i^{\pm 1}, \omega'_j{}^{\pm 1}]. \end{aligned}$$

$$(D2) \quad [a_i(\ell), a_j(\ell')] = \delta_{\ell+\ell', 0} \frac{(rs)^{\frac{|\ell|}{2}} (\langle i, i \rangle^{\frac{\ell a_{ij}}{2}} - \langle i, i \rangle^{-\frac{\ell a_{ij}}{2}})}{|\ell|(r-s)} \cdot \frac{\gamma^{|\ell|} - \gamma'^{|\ell|}}{r-s}.$$

$$(D3) \quad [a_i(\ell), \omega_j^{\pm 1}] = [a_i(\ell), \omega'_j{}^{\pm 1}] = 0.$$

$$(D4) \quad \begin{aligned} D x_i^\pm(k) D^{-1} &= r^k x_i^\pm(k), & D' x_i^\pm(k) D'^{-1} &= s^k x_i^\pm(k), \\ D a_i(\ell) D^{-1} &= r^\ell a_i(\ell), & D' a_i(\ell) D'^{-1} &= s^\ell a_i(\ell). \end{aligned}$$

$$(D5) \quad \omega_i x_j^\pm(k) \omega_i^{-1} = \langle j, i \rangle^{\pm 1} x_j^\pm(k), \quad \omega'_i x_j^\pm(k) \omega'_i{}^{-1} = \langle i, j \rangle^{\mp 1} x_j^\pm(k).$$

$$(D6_1) \quad [a_i(\ell), x_j^\pm(k)] = \pm \frac{(rs)^{\frac{|\ell|}{2}} (\langle i, i \rangle^{\frac{\ell a_{ij}}{2}} - \langle i, i \rangle^{-\frac{\ell a_{ij}}{2}})}{\ell(r-s)} \gamma^{\pm \frac{\ell}{2}} x_j^\pm(\ell+k), \quad \text{for } \ell < 0,$$

$$(D6_2) \quad [a_i(\ell), x_j^\pm(k)] = \pm \frac{(rs)^{\frac{|\ell|}{2}} (\langle i, i \rangle^{\frac{\ell a_{ij}}{2}} - \langle i, i \rangle^{-\frac{\ell a_{ij}}{2}})}{\ell(r-s)} \gamma'^{\pm \frac{\ell}{2}} x_j^\pm(\ell+k), \quad \text{for } \ell > 0.$$

$$(D7) \quad \begin{aligned} &x_i^\pm(k+1) x_j^\pm(k') - \langle j, i \rangle^{\pm 1} x_j^\pm(k') x_i^\pm(k+1) \\ &= - \left(\langle j, i \rangle \langle i, j \rangle^{-1} \right)^{\pm \frac{1}{2}} \left(x_j^\pm(k'+1) x_i^\pm(k) - \langle i, j \rangle^{\pm 1} x_i^\pm(k) x_j^\pm(k'+1) \right). \end{aligned}$$

$$(D8) \quad [x_i^+(k), x_j^-(k')] = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{r-s} \left(\gamma'^{-k} \gamma^{-\frac{k+k'}{2}} \omega_i(k+k') - \gamma^{k'} \gamma'^{\frac{k+k'}{2}} \omega'_i(k+k') \right),$$

where $\omega_i(m)$, $\omega'_i(-m)$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$) with $\omega_i(0) = \omega_i$ and $\omega'_i(0) = \omega'_i$ are defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \omega_i(m) z^{-m} &= \omega_i \exp \left((r-s) \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} a_i(\ell) z^{-\ell} \right); \\ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \omega'_i(-m) z^m &= \omega'_i \exp \left(-(r-s) \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} a_i(-\ell) z^{\ell} \right), \end{aligned}$$

with $\omega_i(-m) = 0$ and $\omega'_i(m) = 0$, $\forall m > 0$.

$$(D9_1) \quad x_i^{\pm}(m) x_j^{\pm}(k) = x_j^{\pm}(k) x_i^{\pm}(m), \quad \text{for } a_{ij} = 0,$$

$$(D9_2) \quad \begin{aligned} &Sym_{m_1, m_2} \left(x_i^{\pm}(m_1) x_i^{\pm}(m_2) x_j^{\pm}(k) - (r^{\pm 1} + s^{\pm 1}) x_i^{\pm}(m_1) x_j^{\pm}(k) x_i^{\pm}(m_2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (rs)^{\pm 1} x_j^{\pm}(k) x_i^{\pm}(m_1) x_i^{\pm}(m_2) \right) = 0, \quad \text{for } a_{ij} = -1, 1 \leq i < j < n, \end{aligned}$$

$$(D9_3) \quad \begin{aligned} &Sym_{m_1, m_2} \left(x_i^{\pm}(m_1) x_i^{\pm}(m_2) x_j^{\pm}(k) - (r^{\mp 1} + s^{\mp 1}) x_i^{\pm}(m_1) x_j^{\pm}(k) x_i^{\pm}(m_2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (rs)^{\mp 1} x_j^{\pm}(k) x_i^{\pm}(m_1) x_i^{\pm}(m_2) \right) = 0, \quad \text{for } a_{ij} = -1, 1 \leq j < i < n, \end{aligned}$$

Sym denotes symmetrization with respect to the indices (m_1, m_2) .

As one of crucial observations of the compatibilities of the defining system above, we have

PROPOSITION 3.2. *There exists the $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra antiautomorphism τ of $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ ($n > 2$) such that $\tau(r) = s$, $\tau(s) = r$, $\tau(\langle \omega'_i, \omega_j \rangle^{\pm 1}) = \langle \omega'_j, \omega_i \rangle^{\mp 1}$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\omega_i) &= \omega'_i, & \tau(\omega'_i) &= \omega_i, \\ \tau(\gamma) &= \gamma', & \tau(\gamma') &= \gamma, \\ \tau(D) &= D', & \tau(D') &= D, \\ \tau(a_i(\ell)) &= a_i(-\ell), \\ \tau(x_i^{\pm}(m)) &= x_i^{\mp}(-m), \\ \tau(\omega_i(m)) &= \omega'_i(-m), & \tau(\omega'_i(-m)) &= \omega_i(m), \end{aligned}$$

and τ preserves each defining relation (Dn) in Definition 3.1 for $n = 1, \dots, 9$. $\square$

REMARK 3.3. (1) Note that the defining relations (D1)—(D5), (D7), (D8), and (D9₁)—(D9₃) are self-compatible each under the $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra antiautomorphism τ , while the couple of the defining relations ((D6₁), (D6₂)) is compatible with each other with respect to τ . Using such a τ , it is sufficient to consider the compatibility for half of the relations, e.g., those relations involving in $+$ -parts for $x_i^{\pm}(m)$, or in positive ℓ 's for $a_i(\ell)$ (for instance, see (D6₂)).

(2) The constraint condition $\gamma\gamma' = rs$ in (D1) is required intrinsically by the compatibilities among (D1), (D3), (D5), (D6), (D7) & (D8). For instance, by (D7), we have $[x_i^-(0), x_j^-(1)]_{\langle i, j \rangle} = (\langle j, i \rangle \langle i, j \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} [x_i^-(1), x_j^-(0)]_{\langle j, i \rangle}^{-1}$. Thus, using the

property (3.5) in Definition 3.4 below and (D8) & (D5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} [x_j^+(0), [x_i^-(0), x_j^-(1)]_{\langle i, j \rangle}] &= (\langle j, i \rangle \langle i, j \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} [x_i^-(1), [x_j^+(0), x_j^-(0)]_{\langle j, i \rangle^{-1}}] \\ &= (\langle j, i \rangle \langle i, j \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[x_i^-(1), \frac{\omega_j - \omega'_j}{r - s} \right]_{\langle j, i \rangle^{-1}} \\ &= \frac{(\langle j, i \rangle \langle i, j \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} - (\langle j, i \rangle \langle i, j \rangle)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{r - s} x_i^-(1) \omega_j. \end{aligned}$$

However, using (3.5), (D8), (D3), (D5) & (D6₂), we can follow another way to expand $[x_j^+(0), [x_i^-(0), x_j^-(1)]_{\langle i, j \rangle}]$ directly as

$$\begin{aligned} [x_j^+(0), [x_i^-(0), x_j^-(1)]_{\langle i, j \rangle}] &= [x_i^-(0), [x_j^+(0), x_j^-(1)]_{\langle i, j \rangle}] \\ &= \gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} [x_i^-(0), a_j(1)] \omega_j \\ &= (rs)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\gamma \gamma')^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\langle j, j \rangle^{\frac{a_{ji}}{2}} - \langle j, j \rangle^{-\frac{a_{ji}}{2}}}{r - s} x_i^-(1) \omega_j. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain that $\gamma \gamma' = rs$ and $\langle i, j \rangle \langle j, i \rangle = \langle i, i \rangle^{a_{ij}}$, for any $i, j \in I$.

(3) As a glimpse of the compatibility of (D2) with (D6₁), (D6₂) and (D8), we have the following: By (D8), we get $a_i(1) = \omega_i^{-1} \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} [x_i^+(0), x_i^-(1)]$ and $a_i(-1) = \omega_i'^{-1} \gamma'^{\frac{1}{2}} [x_i^+(-1), x_i^-(0)]$. Then using one of these expressions of $a_i(\pm 1)$ and using (D6₁) (or (D6₂)) and (D8) again, we may expand the Lie bracket $[a_i(1), a_j(-1)]$ in two manners to get to the same formula as (D2). One is to expand $a_i(1)$ first, and then to use (D6₁) & (D8) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} [a_i(1), a_j(-1)] &= \omega_i^{-1} \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} [[x_i^+(0), x_i^-(1)], a_j(-1)] \\ &= \omega_i^{-1} \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} \left([[x_i^+(0), a_j(-1)], x_i^-(1)] + [x_i^+(0), [x_i^-(1), a_j(-1)]] \right) \\ &= \omega_i^{-1} \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} \{ -a_{ij} \}_{\langle i, i \rangle} \left(\gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} [x_i^+(-1), x_i^-(1)] - \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} [x_i^+(0), x_i^-(0)] \right) \\ &= \{ -a_{ij} \}_{\langle i, i \rangle} \omega_i^{-1} \left(\frac{\gamma' \omega_i - \gamma \omega'_i}{r - s} - \gamma \frac{\omega_i - \omega'_i}{r - s} \right) \\ &= \{ -a_{ij} \}_{\langle i, i \rangle} \frac{\gamma' - \gamma}{r - s} = \{ a_{ij} \}_{\langle i, i \rangle} \frac{\gamma - \gamma'}{r - s}. \end{aligned}$$

where $\{ \ell a_{ij} \}_{\langle i, i \rangle} := \frac{(rs)^{\frac{|\ell|}{2}} (\langle i, i \rangle^{\frac{\ell a_{ij}}{2}} - \langle i, i \rangle^{-\frac{\ell a_{ij}}{2}})}{\ell(r-s)} = \{ \ell a_{ji} \}_{\langle j, j \rangle}$, $\{ -a_{ij} \}_{\langle i, i \rangle} = -\{ a_{ij} \}_{\langle i, i \rangle}$. Expanding $a_j(-1)$ instead and using (D6₂) & (D8), we get the same result. More compatibilities will be clearer in the proof of the Drinfel'd isomorphism theorem.

(4) Another observation is the following: When $r = s^{-1} = q$, the algebra $\mathcal{U}_{q, q^{-1}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ modulo the ideal generated by the set $\{ \omega'_i - \omega_i^{-1} \ (i \in I), \gamma'^{\frac{1}{2}} - \gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}}, D' - D^{-1} \}$ is exactly the usual Drinfel'd realization $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ defined below (cf. [B2]).

The unital associative algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ is generated by the elements $x_i^{\pm}(k)$, $a_i(\ell)$, $\omega_i^{\pm 1}$, $\gamma^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}$, $D^{\pm 1}$, ($i \in I$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$) subject to the following defining relations:

(d1) $\gamma^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}$ are central, $\omega_i \omega_i^{-1} = 1 = DD^{-1}$ ($i \in I$), and for $i, j \in I$, one has

$$[\omega_i^{\pm 1}, \omega_j^{\pm 1}] = [\omega_i^{\pm 1}, D^{\pm 1}] = 0.$$

$$(d2) \quad [a_i(\ell), a_j(\ell')] = \delta_{\ell+\ell', 0} \frac{[\ell a_{ij}]}{\ell} \cdot \frac{\gamma^\ell - \gamma^{-\ell}}{q - q^{-1}}, \quad \left([n] = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}} \right).$$

$$(d3) \quad [a_i(\ell), \omega_j^{\pm 1}] = 0.$$

$$(d4) \quad D x_i^\pm(k) D^{-1} = q^k x_i^\pm(k), \quad D a_i(\ell) D^{-1} = q^\ell a_i(\ell).$$

$$(d5) \quad \omega_i x_j^\pm(k) \omega_i^{-1} = q^{\pm a_{ij}} x_j^\pm(k).$$

$$(d6) \quad [a_i(\ell), x_j^\pm(k)] = \pm \frac{[\ell a_{ij}]}{\ell} \gamma^{\mp \frac{|\ell|}{2}} x_j^\pm(\ell+k).$$

$$(d7) \quad \begin{aligned} x_i^\pm(k+1) x_j^\pm(k') - q^{\pm a_{ij}} x_j^\pm(k') x_i^\pm(k+1) \\ = q^{\pm a_{ij}} x_i^\pm(k) x_j^\pm(k'+1) - x_j^\pm(k'+1) x_i^\pm(k). \end{aligned}$$

$$(d8) \quad [x_i^+(k), x_j^-(k')] = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{q - q^{-1}} \left(\gamma^{\frac{k-k'}{2}} \omega_i(k+k') - \gamma^{\frac{k'-k}{2}} \omega_i^{-1}(k+k') \right),$$

where $\omega_i(m)$ and $\omega_i^{-1}(-m)$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$) with $\omega_i(0) = \omega_i$ and $\omega_i^{-1}(0) = \omega_i^{-1}$ are defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \omega_i(m) z^{-m} &= \omega_i \exp \left((q - q^{-1}) \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} a_i(\ell) z^{-\ell} \right), \quad (\omega_i(-m) = 0, \forall m > 0); \\ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \omega_i^{-1}(-m) z^m &= \omega_i^{-1} \exp \left(-(q - q^{-1}) \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} a_i(-\ell) z^\ell \right), \quad (\omega_i^{-1}(m) = 0, \forall m > 0). \end{aligned}$$

$$(d9_1) \quad x_i^\pm(m) x_j^\pm(k) = x_j^\pm(k) x_i^\pm(m), \quad \text{for } a_{ij} = 0,$$

$$(d9_2) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Sym}_{m_1, m_2} \left(x_i^\pm(m_1) x_i^\pm(m_2) x_j^\pm(k) - (q^{\pm 1} + q^{\mp 1}) x_i^\pm(m_1) x_j^\pm(k) x_i^\pm(m_2) \right. \\ \left. + x_j^\pm(k) x_i^\pm(m_1) x_i^\pm(m_2) \right) = 0, \quad \text{for } a_{ij} = -1, 1 \leq i < j < n, \end{aligned}$$

$$(d9_3) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Sym}_{m_1, m_2} \left(x_i^\pm(m_1) x_i^\pm(m_2) x_j^\pm(k) - (q^{\mp 1} + q^{\pm 1}) x_i^\pm(m_1) x_j^\pm(k) x_i^\pm(m_2) \right. \\ \left. + x_j^\pm(k) x_i^\pm(m_1) x_i^\pm(m_2) \right) = 0, \quad \text{for } a_{ij} = -1, 1 \leq j < i < n. \end{aligned}$$

3.2 Before putting forward the Drinfel'd isomorphism theorem, that is, showing that the $\mathbb{Q}(r, s)$ -algebra $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ ($n > 2$) in Definition 3.1 is exactly the Drinfel'd realization of the two-parameter quantum affine algebra $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ ($n > 2$) defined in Definition 2.1, we need to make some preliminaries on Lyndon words, and to adapt a definition of quantum Lie bracket borrowed from [J2] to give our definition about “affine” quantum Lie bracket (see Definition 3.6) which enables us to derive an interesting description on the quantum *affine* Lyndon basis in the quantum affine cases for the first time.

Note that the (affine) quantum Lie bracket possesses some advantages in calculations such as less related to degrees of elements (see the properties (3.3) & (3.4) below). This generalized quantum Lie bracket, like the one used in the usual construction of the quantum Lyndon basis (for definition, see [R2]), is consistent with the process when adding the bracketing on those corresponding Lyndon words. This is crucial to the quantum calculations we develop later on.

DEFINITION 3.4. ([J2]) The quantum Lie bracket $[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s]_{(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{s-1})}$ is defined inductively by

$$\begin{aligned} [a_1, a_2]_q &= a_1 a_2 - q a_2 a_1, \quad \text{for } q \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}, \\ [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s]_{(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{s-1})} &= [a_1, [a_2, \dots, a_s]_{(q_1, \dots, q_{s-2})}]_{q_{s-1}}, \quad \text{for } q_i \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

The following identities follow from the definition.

$$(3.1) \quad [a, bc]_v = [a, b]_x c + x b [a, c]_{\frac{v}{x}}, \quad x \neq 0,$$

$$(3.2) \quad [ab, c]_v = a [b, c]_x + x [a, c]_{\frac{v}{x}} b, \quad x \neq 0,$$

$$(3.3) \quad [a, [b, c]_u]_v = [[a, b]_x, c]_{\frac{uv}{x}} + x [b, [a, c]_{\frac{v}{x}}]_{\frac{u}{x}}, \quad x \neq 0,$$

$$(3.4) \quad [[a, b]_u, c]_v = [a, [b, c]_x]_{\frac{uv}{x}} + x [[a, c]_{\frac{v}{x}}, b]_{\frac{u}{x}}, \quad x \neq 0.$$

$$(3.5) \quad [a, [b_1, \dots, b_s]_{(v_1, \dots, v_{s-1})}] = \sum_i [b_1, \dots, [a, b_i], \dots, b_s]_{(v_1, \dots, v_{s-1})},$$

$$(3.6) \quad [a, a, b]_{(u, v)} = [a, a, b]_{(v, u)} = a^2 b - (u + v) aba + (uv) ba^2.$$

DEFINITION 3.5. For the generators system of the algebra $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$, we define the $\dot{Q}$ -gradation (where $\dot{Q}$ is the root lattice of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(\omega_i^{\pm 1}) &= \deg(\gamma_i^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}) = \deg(\gamma'^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}) = \deg(D^{\pm 1}) = \deg(D'^{\pm 1}) = 0, \\ \deg(a_i(\pm \ell)) &= 0, \quad \deg(x_i^{\pm}(k)) = \pm \alpha_i. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the defining relations (D1)–(D9) ensure that $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ has a triangular decomposition:

$$\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n) = \mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{n}}^-) \otimes \mathcal{U}_{r,s}^0(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n) \otimes \mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{n}}),$$

where $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{n}}^{\pm}) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \dot{Q}^{\pm}} \mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{n}}^{\pm})_{\alpha}$ is generated respectively by $x_i^{\pm}(k)$ ($i \in I$), and $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}^0(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ is the subalgebra generated by $\omega_i^{\pm 1}$, $\omega_i'^{\pm 1}$, $\gamma^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}$, $\gamma'^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}$, $D^{\pm 1}$, $D'^{\pm 1}$ and $a_i(\pm \ell)$ for $i \in I$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Namely, $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}^0(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ is generated by the toral subalgebra $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)^0$ and the quantum Heisenberg subalgebra $\mathcal{H}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ generated by those quantum imaginary root vectors $a_i(\pm \ell)$ ($i \in I$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$).

DEFINITION 3.6. For $\alpha, \beta \in \dot{Q}^+$ (a positive root lattice of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$), $x_{\alpha}^{\pm}(k)$, $x_{\beta}^{\pm}(k')$ $\in \mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{n}}^{\pm})$, we define their “affine” quantum Lie bracket as follows:

$$(3.7) \quad [x_{\alpha}^{\pm}(k), x_{\beta}^{\pm}(k')]_{\langle \omega'_{\alpha}, \omega_{\beta} \rangle \mp 1} := x_{\alpha}^{\pm}(k) x_{\beta}^{\pm}(k') - \langle \omega'_{\alpha}, \omega_{\beta} \rangle^{\mp 1} x_{\beta}^{\pm}(k') x_{\alpha}^{\pm}(k).$$

By definition 3.6, the formula (D7) will take the convenient form as

$$(3.8) \quad [x_i^{\pm}(k), x_j^{\pm}(k'+1)]_{\langle i, j \rangle \mp 1} = - \left(\langle j, i \rangle \langle i, j \rangle^{-1} \right)^{\pm \frac{1}{2}} [x_j^{\pm}(k'), x_i^{\pm}(k+1)]_{\langle j, i \rangle \mp 1}.$$

By (3.6), the (r, s) -Serre relations (D9₂) & (D9₃) for $m_1 = m_2$ in the case of $a_{ij} = -1$ can be reformulated as:

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} [x_i^{\pm}(m), x_i^{\pm}(m), x_j^{\pm}(k)]_{(r \pm 1, s \pm 1)} &= 0, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i < j < n, \\ [x_i^{\pm}(m), x_i^{\pm}(m), x_j^{\pm}(k)]_{(s \mp 1, r \mp 1)} &= 0, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j < i < n. \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 3.7. (1) For any nonsimple root $\alpha (\neq \alpha_i)$ ($i \in I$), the meaning of notation $x_{\alpha}^{\pm}(k)$ (resp. $x_{\alpha}^{-}(k)$) in Definition 3.6 has a bit ambiguity, as is well-known even for quantum “classical” root vectors $x_{\alpha}^{\pm}(0)$ which have different linearly-independent choices. However, the combinatorial approach to Lyndon words, together with the “affine” quantum Lie bracket, will give us a valid and specific

choice for $x_\alpha^+(k)$ which leads to a construction of quantum “affine” Lyndon basis for $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})$, on which acting τ will yield a corresponding construction of quantum “affine” Lyndon basis for $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}^-)$ (see Proposition 3.10 & Theorem 3.11 below).

(2) In fact, (3.8) describes a kind of consistent constraints of quantum affine root vectors defined by some Lyndon words of different levels (if say, $x_j^\pm(k)$ have *level* k) which obeys the defining rule of Lyndon basis (see below) via Lyndon words as in the classical types, since from (3.8), we get the *level-shifting formula*

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} [x_i^\pm(k), x_j^\pm(k'+1)]_{\langle i,j \rangle^{\mp 1}} &= \langle i, i \rangle^{\mp \frac{a_{ij}}{2}} \left([x_i^\pm(k+1), x_j^\pm(k')]_{\langle i,j \rangle^{\mp 1}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\langle i, j \rangle^{\mp 1} - \langle j, i \rangle^{\pm 1}) x_j^\pm(k') x_i^\pm(k+1) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Based on this formula, we will see that it makes reasonable to give the definition of quantum affine root vector $x_\alpha^\pm(k)$ as in (3.14) & (3.15) below such that the level k completely concentrates on the component of the lowest index, in the ordered constituents of Lyndon basis. This will be clear from the proof of Proposition 3.10.

(3) Let $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\mathfrak{n})$ denote the subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})$, generated by $x_i^+(0)$ ($i \in I$). By definition, it is clear that $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\mathfrak{n}) \cong U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{n})$, the subalgebra of $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ generated by e_i ($i \in I$) (see [BGH1, Remarks (2), p. 391]). Now let us recall the construction of a Lyndon basis. The natural ordering $<$ in I gives a total ordering of the alphabet $A = \{x_1^+(0), \dots, x_{n-1}^+(0)\}$. Let A^* be the set of all words in the alphabet A (including the vacuum 1) and let $u < v$ denote that word u is lexicographically smaller than word v . Recall that a word $\ell \in A^*$ is a *Lyndon word* if it is lexicographically smaller than all its proper right factors (cf. [LR], [R2], [BH]). Let $\mathbb{K}[A^*]$ be the associative algebra of $\mathbb{K}$ -linear combinations of words in A^* whose product is juxtaposition, namely, a free $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra. Let J be the (r, s) -Serre ideal of $\mathbb{K}[A^*]$ generated by elements $\{(ad_\ell x_i^+(0))^{1-a_{ij}} (x_j^+(0)) \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n-1\}$. Clearly, $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\mathfrak{n}) = \mathbb{K}[A^*]/J$. Now given another ordering $\preceq$ in A^* with introducing a usual length function $|\cdot|$ for each word $u \in A^*$. We say $u \preceq w$, if $|u| < |w|$ or $|u| = |w|$ and $u \geq w$. Then we call a (Lyndon) word to be *good* with respect to the (r, s) -Serre ideal J if it cannot be written as a sum of strictly smaller words modulo J with respect to the ordering $\preceq$. From [R2], the set of quantum Lie brackets (or say, $\mathbf{q}$ -bracketings) of all good Lyndon words consists of a system of quantum root vectors of $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\mathfrak{n})$. More precisely, we have a construction for any quantum root vector $x_\alpha^+(0)$ with $\alpha \in \dot{\Delta}^+$ (a positive root system of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$) in the following. $\square$

Take a corresponding ordering (compatible with the natural ordering $<$ on I) of $\dot{\Delta}^+ = \{\alpha_{ij} := \alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1} + \dots + \alpha_{j-1} = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$ with $\alpha_{i,i+1} = \alpha_i$ as follows (see [H, p. 533]):

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} &\alpha_{12}, \alpha_{13}, \alpha_{14}, \dots, \alpha_{1n}, \\ &\alpha_{23}, \alpha_{24}, \dots, \alpha_{2n}, \\ &\vdots \\ &\alpha_{n-1,n} \end{aligned}$$

which is a *convex ordering* on $\dot{\Delta}^+$ (for definition, see [R2, Section 6]). Hence, for each $\alpha = \alpha_{ij} \in \dot{\Delta}^+$, by [R2], we can construct the quantum root vector $x_\alpha^+(0)$ as a

(r, s) -bracketing of a good Lyndon word in the inductive fashion:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_{\alpha_{ij}}^+(0) &:= [x_{\alpha_{i,j-1}}^+(0), x_{j-1}^+(0)]_{\langle \omega'_{\alpha_{i,j-1}}, \omega_{j-1} \rangle^{-1}} \\
 (3.12) \quad &= [\cdots [x_i^+(0), x_{i+1}^+(0)]_{\langle i, i+1 \rangle^{-1}}, \cdots, x_{j-1}^+(0)]_{\langle \omega'_{\alpha_{i,j-1}}, \omega_{j-1} \rangle^{-1}} \\
 &= [\cdots [x_i^+(0), x_{i+1}^+(0)]_r, \cdots, x_{j-1}^+(0)]_r.
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying τ to (3.12), we can obtain the definition of quantum root vector $x_{\alpha_{ij}}^-(0)$ as below:

$$(3.13) \quad x_{\alpha_{ij}}^-(0) = \tau(x_{\alpha_{ij}}^+(0)) = [x_{j-1}^-(0), \cdots, [x_{i+1}^-(0), x_i^-(0)]_s \cdots]_s.$$

THEOREM 3.8. (i) *The set*

$$\left\{ x_{\alpha_{n-1,n}}^+(0)^{\ell_{n-1,n}} \cdots x_{\alpha_{23}}^+(0)^{\ell_{23}} x_{\alpha_{1n}}^+(0)^{\ell_{1n}} \cdots x_{\alpha_{13}}^+(0)^{\ell_{13}} x_{\alpha_{12}}^+(0)^{\ell_{12}} \mid \ell_{ij} \geq 0 \right\}$$

is a Lyndon basis of $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\mathfrak{n})$.

(ii) *The set*

$$\left\{ x_{\alpha_{12}}^-(0)^{\ell_{12}} x_{\alpha_{13}}^-(0)^{\ell_{13}} \cdots x_{\alpha_{1n}}^-(0)^{\ell_{1n}} x_{\alpha_{23}}^-(0)^{\ell_{23}} \cdots x_{\alpha_{n-1,n}}^-(0)^{\ell_{n-1,n}} \mid \ell_{ij} \geq 0 \right\}$$

is a Lyndon basis of $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$. $\square$

DEFINITION 3.9. For $\alpha_{ij} \in \dot{\Delta}^+$, we define the *quantum affine root vectors* $x_{\alpha_{ij}}^\pm(k)$ of nontrivial level k by

$$(3.14) \quad x_{\alpha_{ij}}^+(k) := [\cdots [x_i^+(k), x_{i+1}^+(0)]_r, \cdots, x_{j-1}^+(0)]_r,$$

$$(3.15) \quad x_{\alpha_{ij}}^-(k) := [x_{j-1}^-(0), \cdots, [x_{i+1}^-(0), x_i^-(k)]_s \cdots]_s,$$

where $\tau(x_{\alpha_{ij}}^\pm(\pm k)) = x_{\alpha_{ij}}^\mp(\mp k)$.

For each fixed $\alpha \in \dot{Q}^+$, let us denote by $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}^{(k)}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})_\alpha$ the subspace of $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})_\alpha$, consisting of elements of level k . Hence, $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})_\alpha = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{U}_{r,s}^{(k)}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})_\alpha$. When $\alpha = \alpha_i \in \dot{\Delta}^+$ is a simple root, by definition, $\dim \mathcal{U}_{r,s}^{(k)}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})_{\alpha_i} = 1$ for any level k . However, for any nonsimple root $\alpha \neq \alpha_i$ ($i \in I$), $\dim \mathcal{U}_{r,s}^{(k)}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})_\alpha = \infty$ for any level k . In this case, given a positive root $\alpha = \alpha_{ij} \in \dot{\Delta}^+$, we call a tuple $(\beta_{j_1}, \cdots, \beta_{j_\nu})$ ($\nu \geq 1$) to be a partition of root α_{ij} if $\beta_{j_1} < \cdots < \beta_{j_\nu}$ in the ordering given in (3.11) such that $\beta_{j_1} + \cdots + \beta_{j_\nu} = \alpha_{ij}$. If $\nu > 1$, we say this partition to be *proper*. Denote by $\mathfrak{P}^\circ(\alpha)$ the set of all proper partitions of root α . Obviously, we have $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}^{(k_\nu)}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})_{\beta_{j_\nu}} \cdots \mathcal{U}_{r,s}^{(k_1)}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})_{\beta_{j_1}} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{r,s}^{(k)}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})_\alpha$ if $k_1 + \cdots + k_\nu = k$. Now we write

$$\Omega_\alpha^{(k)}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}) := \sum_{\substack{(\beta_{j_1}, \cdots, \beta_{j_\nu}) \in \mathfrak{P}^\circ(\alpha) \\ k_1 + \cdots + k_\nu = k}} \mathcal{U}_{r,s}^{(k_\nu)}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})_{\beta_{j_\nu}} \cdots \mathcal{U}_{r,s}^{(k_1)}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})_{\beta_{j_1}} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{r,s}^{(k)}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})_\alpha$$

for the subspace of $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}^{(k)}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})_\alpha$ spanned by basis elements' products of level k from those proper partitions pertaining to α . Using the $\mathbb{Q}$ -antiautomorphism τ on $\Omega_\alpha^{(-k)}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})$, we get

$$\Omega_\alpha^{(k)}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}^-) := \tau(\Omega_\alpha^{(-k)}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})).$$

Then we have the following description on $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}^{(k)}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}^\pm)_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \dot{\Delta}^+$, whose proof shows that Definition 3.9 makes sense.

PROPOSITION 3.10. For $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and $\alpha_{ij} \in \dot{\Delta}^+$ (a positive root system of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$), we have

- (i) $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}^{(k)}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})_{\alpha_{ij}} = \mathbb{K}x_{\alpha_{ij}}^+(k) \oplus \Omega_{\alpha_{ij}}^{(k)}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}),$
- (ii) $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}^{(k)}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}^-)_{\alpha_{ij}} = \mathbb{K}x_{\alpha_{ij}}^-(k) \oplus \Omega_{\alpha_{ij}}^{(k)}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}^-).$

PROOF. (i) We will use an induction on rank n , where $n \geq 2$. Assume that $i < j$ and $k' > 0$, then by (3.10), we have

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} [x_i^+(k), x_j^+(k')]_{\langle i,j \rangle^{-1}} &= \langle i, i \rangle^{-\frac{\alpha_{ij}}{2}} \left([x_i^+(k+1), x_j^+(k'-1)]_{\langle i,j \rangle^{-1}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\langle i, j \rangle^{-1} - \langle j, i \rangle) x_j^+(k'-1) x_i^+(k+1) \right). \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} [x_i^+(k), x_j^+(-k')]_{\langle i,j \rangle^{-1}} &= \langle i, i \rangle^{\frac{\alpha_{ij}}{2}} [x_i^+(k-1), x_j^+(-k'+1)]_{\langle i,j \rangle^{-1}} \\ &\quad + (\langle j, i \rangle - \langle i, j \rangle^{-1}) x_j^+(-k') x_i^+(k). \end{aligned}$$

When $n = 2$, for any $k' \in \mathbb{N}$, repeatedly using (3.16) & (3.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1^+(k), x_2^+(k')]_r &= \langle 1, 1 \rangle^{\frac{k'}{2}} x_{\alpha_{13}}^+(k+k') \\ &\quad + \sum_{t=1}^{k'} \langle 1, 1 \rangle^{\frac{k'-t+1}{2}} (r-s) x_2^+(t-1) x_1^+(k+k'-t+1) \\ &\equiv \langle 1, 1 \rangle^{\frac{k'}{2}} x_{\alpha_{13}}^+(k+k') \pmod{\Omega_{\alpha_{13}}^{(k+k')}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})}, \\ [x_1^+(k), x_2^+(-k')]_r &= \langle 1, 1 \rangle^{-\frac{k'}{2}} x_{\alpha_{13}}^+(k-k') \\ &\quad + \sum_{t=1}^{k'} \langle 1, 1 \rangle^{-\frac{k'-t}{2}} (s-r) x_2^+(-t) x_1^+(k-k'+t) \\ &\equiv \langle 1, 1 \rangle^{-\frac{k'}{2}} x_{\alpha_{13}}^+(k-k') \pmod{\Omega_{\alpha_{13}}^{(k-k')}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})}, \end{aligned}$$

which means that in both cases, we have

$$[x_1^+(k), x_2^+(k')]_r \equiv \langle 1, 1 \rangle^{\frac{k'}{2}} x_{\alpha_{13}}^+(k+k') \pmod{\Omega_{\alpha_{13}}^{(k+k')}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})}, \quad \text{for any } k' \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Therefore, in rank 2 case, any elements (except for $x_2^+(k')x_1^+(k)$) of degree α_{13} generated by $x_1^+(k)$ and $x_2^+(k')$ are of form: $[x_1^+(k), x_2^+(k')]_a$ for any $a \in \mathbb{K}$, however,

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} [x_1^+(k), x_2^+(k')]_a &= [x_1^+(k), x_2^+(k')]_r + (r-a) x_2^+(k') x_1^+(k) \\ &\equiv (rs^{-1})^{\frac{k'}{2}} x_{\alpha_{13}}^+(k+k') \pmod{\Omega_{\alpha_{13}}^{(k+k')}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})}. \end{aligned}$$

This fact shows that

$$\mathcal{U}_{r,s}^{(k)}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})_{\alpha_{13}} = \mathbb{K}x_{\alpha_{13}}^+(k) \oplus \Omega_{\alpha_{13}}^{(k)}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})$$

as vector spaces. Dually, we also have $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}^{(k)}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}^-)_{\alpha_{13}} = \mathbb{K}x_{\alpha_{13}}^-(k) \oplus \Omega_{\alpha_{13}}^{(k)}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}^-)$ as vector spaces.

Now we assume that we have proved the results for rank $< n$, that is, for those α_{ij} with $1 \leq i < j < n$. For rank n case, owing to the ordering given in (3.11), we are left to prove the remaining cases: $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}^{(k)}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}^{\pm})_{\alpha_{in}}$ with $1 \leq i < j = n$.

In view of the same observation as (3.18), we need only to consider the following elements of degree α_{in} and level $k+k'$ generated by $x_{\alpha_{i,n-1}}^+(k)$ and $x_{n-1}^+(k')$ for

$1 \leq i < n$: $[x_{\alpha_{i,n-1}}^+(k), x_{n-1}^+(k')]_{\langle \omega'_{\alpha_{i,n-1}}, \omega_{n-1} \rangle^{-1}} = [x_{\alpha_{i,n-1}}^+(k), x_{n-1}^+(k')]_r$. By definition (see (3.14)) and using (3.4), (3.5) & (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [x_{\alpha_{i,n-1}}^+(k), x_{n-1}^+(k')]_r = [[x_{\alpha_{i,n-2}}^+(k), x_{n-2}^+(0)]_r, x_{n-1}^+(k')]_r \quad (\text{using (3.4)}) \\
& = [x_{\alpha_{i,n-2}}^+(k), [x_{n-2}^+(0), x_{n-1}^+(k')]_r]_r \\
& \quad + r \underbrace{[x_{\alpha_{i,n-2}}^+(k), x_{n-1}^+(k')]_r, x_{n-2}^+(0)]}_{(2\text{nd term} = 0 \text{ by (3.5) \& (D9}_1))} \\
& = [x_{\alpha_{i,n-2}}^+(k), \underbrace{[x_{n-2}^+(0), x_{n-1}^+(k')]_r}_r]_r \quad (\text{using (3.18): rank 2 case}) \\
& = (rs^{-1})^{\frac{k'}{2}} \underbrace{[x_{\alpha_{i,n-2}}^+(k), x_{n-2}^+(k')]_r, x_{n-1}^+(0)]_r}_{(\text{using the inductive hypothesis})} \\
& \quad + \sum_t *t(r-s) [x_{\alpha_{i,n-2}}^+(k), x_{n-1}^+(t) x_{n-2}^+(k'-t)]_r \quad (\text{using (3.1)}) \\
& \equiv (rs^{-1})^{\frac{k'(n-1-i)}{2}} \underbrace{[x_{\alpha_{i,n-1}}^+(k+k'), x_{n-1}^+(0)]_r}_{(\text{by definition})} \bmod [\Omega_{\alpha_{i,n-1}}^{(k+k')}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}), x_{n-1}^+(0)]_r \\
& \quad + \sum_t *t(r-s) x_{n-1}^+(t) \underbrace{[x_{\alpha_{i,n-2}}^+(k), x_{n-2}^+(k'-t)]_r}_{(\text{using the inductive hypothesis})} \\
& \equiv (rs^{-1})^{\frac{k'(n-1-i)}{2}} x_{\alpha_{in}}^+(k+k') \bmod \Omega_{\alpha_{in}}^{(k+k')}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}) \\
& \quad + \sum_t *t(r-s) x_{n-1}^+(t) x_{\alpha_{i,n-1}}^+(k+k'-t) \bmod x_{n-1}^+(t) \Omega_{\alpha_{i,n-1}}^{(k+k'-t)}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}) \\
& \equiv (rs^{-1})^{\frac{k'(n-1-i)}{2}} x_{\alpha_{in}}^+(k+k') \bmod \Omega_{\alpha_{in}}^{(k+k')}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the 1st “ $\equiv$ ”, we used the following fact:

$$\begin{aligned}
& [x_{\alpha_{i,n-2}}^+(k), x_{n-1}^+(t) x_{n-2}^+(k'-t)]_r = x_{n-1}^+(t) [x_{\alpha_{i,n-2}}^+(k), x_{n-2}^+(k'-t)]_r \\
& \quad + \underbrace{[x_{\alpha_{i,n-2}}^+(k), x_{n-1}^+(t)]_r}_{(2\text{nd term} = 0 \text{ by (3.5) \& (D9}_1))} x_{n-2}^+(k'-t) \\
& = x_{n-1}^+(t) [x_{\alpha_{i,n-2}}^+(k), x_{n-2}^+(k'-t)]_r;
\end{aligned}$$

while in the 2nd “ $\equiv$ ”, we used the facts:

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\Omega_{\alpha_{i,n-1}}^{(k+k')}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}), x_{n-1}^+(0)]_r \subseteq \Omega_{\alpha_{in}}^{(k+k')}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}), \\
& x_{n-1}^+(t) \Omega_{\alpha_{i,n-1}}^{(k+k'-t)}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}) \subseteq \Omega_{\alpha_{in}}^{(k+k')}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}).
\end{aligned}$$

The latter is clear, due to the definition of $\Omega_{\alpha_{in}}^{(k+k')}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})$. As for the first inclusion, we have the following argument provided that we notice the basis elements' constituents of $\Omega_{\alpha_{i,n-1}}^{(k+k')}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})$. Indeed, for any basis element

$$x_{\alpha_{\ell_\nu, n-1}}^+(k_\nu) x_{\alpha_{\ell_{\nu-1}, \ell_\nu}}^+(k_{\nu-1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_{i, \ell_1}}^+(k_1) \in \Omega_{\alpha_{i,n-1}}^{(k+k')}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})$$

of level $k + k'$ pertaining to a partition of $\alpha_{i,n-1}$, using (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [x_{\alpha_{\ell_\nu, n-1}}^+(k_\nu) x_{\alpha_{\ell_{\nu-1}, \ell_\nu}}^+(k_{\nu-1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_{i, \ell_1}}^+(k_1), x_{n-1}^+(0)]_r \\
&= \underbrace{[x_{\alpha_{\ell_\nu, n-1}}^+(k_\nu), x_{n-1}^+(0)]_r}_{\text{(2nd term = 0 by (3.5) \& (D9}_1\text{) since } \ell_1 < \cdots < \ell_\nu < n-1)} x_{\alpha_{\ell_{\nu-1}, \ell_\nu}}^+(k_{\nu-1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_{i, \ell_1}}^+(k_1) \text{ (by definition)} \\
&+ x_{\alpha_{\ell_\nu, n-1}}^+(k_\nu) \underbrace{[x_{\alpha_{\ell_{\nu-1}, \ell_\nu}}^+(k_{\nu-1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_{i, \ell_1}}^+(k_1), x_{n-1}^+(0)]}_{\text{(2nd term = 0 by (3.5) \& (D9}_1\text{) since } \ell_1 < \cdots < \ell_\nu < n-1)} \\
&= x_{\alpha_{\ell_\nu, n}}^+(k_\nu) x_{\alpha_{\ell_{\nu-1}, \ell_\nu}}^+(k_{\nu-1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_{i, \ell_1}}^+(k_1) \\
&\in \Omega_{\alpha_{in}}^{(k+k')}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}),
\end{aligned}$$

here $k_1 + \cdots + k_\nu = k + k'$.

Up to now, we have finished the proof of (i). Using τ to (i), we can get the second statement (ii). $\square$

The argument above (in fact used the so-called quantum calculations) implies the important conclusions about the quantum affine Lyndon basis we present below.

THEOREM 3.11. (i) *The set*

$$\left\{ \left(\prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} x_{\alpha_{n-1, n}}^+(i)^{\ell_{n-1, n}^{(i)}} \right) \cdots \left(\prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} x_{\alpha_{1n}}^+(i)^{\ell_{1n}^{(i)}} \right) \cdots \left(\prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} x_{\alpha_{12}}^+(i)^{\ell_{12}^{(i)}} \right) \middle| \ell_{st}^{(i)} \geq 0 \right\}$$

is an “affine” Lyndon basis of $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})$, where each index set $I_{\alpha_{st}} = \{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \ell_{st}^{(i)} \neq 0\}$ is finite.

(ii) *The set*

$$\left\{ \left(\prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} x_{\alpha_{12}}^-(i)^{\ell_{12}^{(i)}} \right) \cdots \left(\prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} x_{\alpha_{1n}}^-(i)^{\ell_{1n}^{(i)}} \right) \cdots \left(\prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} x_{\alpha_{n-1, n}}^-(i)^{\ell_{n-1, n}^{(i)}} \right) \middle| \ell_{st}^{(i)} \geq 0 \right\}$$

is an “affine” Lyndon basis of $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}^-)$, where each index set $I_{\alpha_{st}} = \{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \ell_{st}^{(i)} \neq 0\}$ is finite. $\square$

3.3 The following main theorem establishes the Drinfel’d isomorphism between the two-parameter quantum affine algebra $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ (in Definition 2.1) and the (r, s) -analogue of Drinfel’d quantum affinization of $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ (in Definition 3.1), which affords the two-parameter Drinfel’d realization of $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ as required.

THEOREM 3.12. (Drinfel’d Isomorphism) *For Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ with $n > 2$, let $\theta = \alpha_{1n}$ be the maximal positive root. Then there exists an algebra isomorphism $\Psi : U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ defined by: for each $i \in I$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_i &\longmapsto \omega_i \\
\omega'_i &\longmapsto \omega'_i \\
\omega_0 &\longmapsto \gamma'^{-1} \omega_\theta^{-1} \\
\omega'_0 &\longmapsto \gamma^{-1} \omega'_\theta^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma^{\pm \frac{1}{2}} &\longmapsto \gamma^{\pm \frac{1}{2}} \\
\gamma'^{\pm \frac{1}{2}} &\longmapsto \gamma'^{\pm \frac{1}{2}} \\
D^{\pm 1} &\longmapsto D^{\pm 1} \\
D'^{\pm 1} &\longmapsto D'^{\pm 1} \\
e_i &\longmapsto x_i^+(0) \\
f_i &\longmapsto x_i^-(0) \\
e_0 &\longmapsto x_{\alpha_{1n}}^-(1) \cdot (\gamma'^{-1} \omega_\theta^{-1}) = x_\theta^-(1) \cdot (\gamma'^{-1} \omega_\theta^{-1}) \\
f_0 &\longmapsto (\gamma^{-1} \omega_\theta'^{-1}) \cdot x_{\alpha_{1n}}^+(-1) = \tau(x_{\alpha_{1n}}^-(1) \cdot (\gamma'^{-1} \omega_\theta^{-1}))
\end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_\theta = \omega_1 \cdots \omega_{n-1}$, $\omega'_\theta = \omega'_1 \cdots \omega'_{n-1}$. $\square$

Since the Lusztig's symmetry of the braid group for the two-parameter cases is no more available when the rank of $\mathfrak{g}$ is bigger than 2 (see [BGH1, Section 3]). This means that the Beck's approach (using the extended braid group actions (see [B2]) to prove the Drinfel'd Isomorphism Theorem) is not yet valid for the two-parameter cases here. Our treatment in the next section in fact develops a valid and interesting algorithm on the quantum calculations, which, as the reader has seen, is also a successful application to the combinatorial approach to the quantum “affine” Lyndon basis (based on the Drinfel'd generators) we introduced above. In some sense, our method also provides another new combinatorial proof via the quantum “affine” Lyndon basis even in the one-parameter setting.

4. Proof of the Drinfel'd Isomorphism Theorem

4.1 Let $E_i, F_i, \omega_i, \omega'_i$ denote the images of $e_i, f_i, \omega_i, \omega'_i$ ($i \in I_0$) in the algebra $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ under the mapping Ψ , respectively.

Denote by $\mathcal{U}'_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ the subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ generated by $E_i, F_i, \omega_i^{\pm 1}, \omega'_i{}^{\pm 1}$ ($i \in I_0$), $\gamma^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}, \gamma'^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}, D^{\pm 1}$ and $D'^{\pm 1}$, that is,

$$\mathcal{U}'_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n) := \left\langle E_i, F_i, \omega_i^{\pm 1}, \omega'_i{}^{\pm 1}, \gamma^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}, \gamma'^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}, D^{\pm 1}, D'^{\pm 1} \mid i \in I_0 \right\rangle.$$

Thereby, to prove the Drinfel'd Isomorphism Theorem (Theorem 3.12) is equivalent to prove the following three Theorems:

THEOREM 4.1. $\Psi : \mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}'_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ is an epimorphism.

THEOREM 4.2. $\mathcal{U}'_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n) = \mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$.

THEOREM 4.3. $\Psi : \mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ is injective.

4.2 Proof of Theorem 4.1. We shall check that the elements $E_i, F_i, \omega_i, \omega'_i$ ($i \in I_0$), $\gamma^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}, \gamma'^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}, D^{\pm 1}, D'^{\pm 1}$ satisfy the defining relations of (A1)–(A7) of $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$. First of all, the defining relations of $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ imply that $E_i, F_i, \omega_i, \omega'_i$ ($i \in I$) generate a subalgebra $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ of $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$, which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$. So we are left to check the relations involving the index $i = 0$.

Obviously, the relations of (A1) hold, according to the defining relations of $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$.

For (A2): we just check the following three relations involving $i = 0$, the remaining relations in (A2) are parallel to check. Using (D4), we get

$$DE_0D^{-1} = Dx_{\theta}^{-}(1)D^{-1} \cdot (\gamma'^{-1}\omega_{\theta}^{-1}) = rE_0.$$

For $0 \leq j < n$, noting that $\langle \omega_{\theta}^{\prime -1}, \omega_j \rangle = \langle \gamma'^{-1}\omega_{\theta}^{\prime -1}, \omega_j \rangle = \langle \omega'_0, \omega_j \rangle$ (by Proposition 2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_j E_0 \omega_j^{-1} &= \omega_j x_{\theta}^{-}(1) (\gamma'^{-1}\omega_{\theta}^{-1}) \omega_j^{-1} \\ &= \langle \omega'_{n-1}, \omega_j \rangle^{-1} \cdots \langle \omega'_1, \omega_j \rangle^{-1} E_0 = \langle \omega'_0, \omega_j \rangle E_0. \end{aligned}$$

For $0 \leq i < n$,

$$\omega_0 E_i \omega_0^{-1} = (\gamma'^{-1}\omega_{\theta}^{-1}) E_i (\gamma' \omega_{\theta}) = \omega_{\theta}^{-1} E_i \omega_{\theta},$$

when $i \neq 0$, since $\langle \omega'_i, \omega_{\theta} \rangle^{-1} = \langle \omega'_i, \omega_0 \rangle$ (by Proposition 2.4), we obtain

$$\omega_{\theta}^{-1} E_i \omega_{\theta} = \omega_{\theta}^{-1} x_i^{+}(0) \omega_{\theta} = \langle \omega'_i, \omega_{\theta} \rangle^{-1} x_i^{+}(0) = \langle \omega'_i, \omega_0 \rangle E_i;$$

and when $i = 0$, since $\langle \omega'_{\theta}, \omega_{\theta} \rangle^{-1} = \langle \omega'_0, \omega_0 \rangle$ (by Proposition 2.4), we have

$$\omega_{\theta}^{-1} E_0 \omega_{\theta} = \omega_{\theta}^{-1} x_{\theta}^{-}(1) (\gamma'^{-1}\omega_{\theta}^{-1}) \omega_{\theta} = \langle \omega'_0, \omega_0 \rangle E_0.$$

Similarly, one can verify the relations in (A3).

For (A4): first of all, when $i \neq 0$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} [E_0, F_i] &= [x_{\theta}^{-}(1) \cdot (\gamma'^{-1}\omega_{\theta}^{-1}), x_i^{-}(0)] \\ &= -[x_i^{-}(0), x_{\theta}^{-}(1)]_{\langle \omega'_i, \omega_{\theta} \rangle} (\gamma'^{-1}\omega_{\theta}^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

According to the corresponding cross relations held in $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$, we claim the following crucial Lemma, whose proof using the typical quantum calculations is technical.

LEMMA 4.4. $[x_i^{-}(0), x_{\theta}^{-}(1)]_{\langle \omega'_i, \omega_{\theta} \rangle} = 0, \text{ for } i \in I.$

PROOF. (I) When $i = 1$, $\langle \omega'_1, \omega_0 \rangle = \langle \omega'_2, \omega_1 \rangle = s$, and $\langle \omega'_1, \omega_{\theta} \rangle = s^{-1}$. By (3.8) & (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1^{-}(0), x_{\alpha_{13}}^{-}(1)]_{s^{-1}} &= [x_1^{-}(0), \underbrace{[x_2^{-}(0), x_1^{-}(1)]_{\langle 2,1 \rangle}}_{s^{-1}}]_{s^{-1}} \quad (\text{by (3.8)}) \\ &= -(\langle 1, 2 \rangle^{-1} \langle 2, 1 \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} [x_1^{-}(0), [x_1^{-}(0), x_2^{-}(1)]_{\langle 1,2 \rangle}]_{s^{-1}} \\ &= -(rs)^{\frac{1}{2}} [x_1^{-}(0), x_1^{-}(0), x_2^{-}(1)]_{(r^{-1}, s^{-1})} = 0. \quad (\text{by (3.9)}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, repeatedly using (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1^{-}(0), x_{\alpha_{1n}}^{-}(1)]_{s^{-1}} &= [\underbrace{[x_1^{-}(0), x_{n-1}^{-}(0)]}_{s^{-1}}, x_{\alpha_{1, n-1}}^{-}(1)] \quad (= 0 \text{ by (D9}_1)) \\ &\quad + [x_{n-1}^{-}(0), [x_1^{-}(0), x_{\alpha_{1, n-1}}^{-}(1)]_{s^{-1}}]_s \quad (\text{by (3.3)}) \\ &= [x_{n-1}^{-}(0), \underbrace{[x_1^{-}(0), x_{\alpha_{1, n-1}}^{-}(1)]_{s^{-1}}}_s]_s \\ &= \cdots \quad (\text{inductively using (3.3) \& (D9}_1)) \\ &= [x_{n-1}^{-}(0), x_{n-2}^{-}(0), \cdots, \underbrace{[x_1^{-}(0), x_{\alpha_{13}}^{-}(1)]_{s^{-1}}}_{s^{-1}}]_{(s, \dots, s)} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

(II) When $i = n - 1$, $\langle \omega'_{n-1}, \omega_0 \rangle = r^{-1}$, that is, $\langle \omega'_{n-1}, \omega_\theta \rangle = r$. By (3.3), (3.9) & (D9₁), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [x_{n-1}^-(0), x_{\alpha_{1n}}^-(1)]_r \quad (\text{by definition}) \\
&= [x_{n-1}^-(0), \underbrace{[x_{n-1}^-(0), [x_{n-2}^-(0), x_{\alpha_{1,n-2}}^-(1)]_s]_s}_r]_r \quad (\text{using (3.3)}) \\
&= \underbrace{[x_{n-1}^-(0), [x_{n-1}^-(0), x_{n-2}^-(0)]_s, x_{\alpha_{1,n-2}}^-(1)]_s}_r]_r \quad (\text{this term using (3.3)}) \\
&\quad + s [x_{n-1}^-(0), [x_{n-2}^-(0), \underbrace{[x_{n-1}^-(0), x_{\alpha_{1,n-2}}^-(1)]_s}_r]]_r \quad (= 0 \text{ by (3.5), (D9}_1\text{)}) \\
&= [\underbrace{[x_{n-1}^-(0), x_{n-1}^-(0), x_{n-2}^-(0)]_{(s,r)}}_r, x_{\alpha_{1,n-2}}^-(1)]_s \quad (\text{this term} = 0 \text{ by (3.9)}) \\
&\quad + r [\underbrace{[x_{n-1}^-(0), x_{n-2}^-(0)]_s}_r, \underbrace{[x_{n-1}^-(0), x_{\alpha_{1,n-2}}^-(1)]_r^{-1}}_s]_{r^{-1}s} \quad (= 0 \text{ by (3.5), (D9}_1\text{)}) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

(III) When $1 < i < n - 1$, $\langle \omega'_i, \omega_0 \rangle = 1$, that is, $\langle \omega'_i, \omega_\theta \rangle = 1$. In order to derive the required result, we first need to make two claims below:

Claim (A): $[x_i^-(0), x_{\alpha_{1,i+1}}^-(1)]_{\langle \omega'_i, \omega_{\alpha_{1,i+1}} \rangle} = [x_i^-(0), x_{\alpha_{1,i+1}}^-(1)]_r = 0$, for $i \geq 2$.

In fact, by (3.3), (3.9) & (D9₁), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [x_i^-(0), x_{\alpha_{1,i+1}}^-(1)]_r \quad (\text{by definition}) \\
&= [x_i^-(0), \underbrace{[x_i^-(0), [x_{i-1}^-(0), x_{\alpha_{1,i-1}}^-(1)]_s]_s}_r]_r \quad (\text{using (3.3)}) \\
&= \underbrace{[x_i^-(0), [x_i^-(0), x_{i-1}^-(0)]_s, x_{\alpha_{1,i-1}}^-(1)]_s}_r]_r \quad (\text{this term using (3.3)}) \\
&\quad + s [x_i^-(0), \underbrace{[x_{i-1}^-(0), [x_i^-(0), x_{\alpha_{1,i-1}}^-(1)]_s]}_r]]_r \quad (= 0 \text{ by (3.5), (D9}_1\text{)}) \\
&= [\underbrace{[x_i^-(0), x_i^-(0), x_{i-1}^-(0)]_{(s,r)}}_r, x_{\alpha_{1,i-1}}^-(1)]_s \quad (= 0 \text{ by (3.9)}) \\
&\quad + r [\underbrace{[x_i^-(0), x_{i-1}^-(0)]_s}_r, \underbrace{[x_i^-(0), x_{\alpha_{1,i-1}}^-(1)]_r^{-1}}_s]_{r^{-1}s} \quad (= 0 \text{ by (3.5), (D9}_1\text{)}) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Claim (B): $[x_i^-(0), x_{\alpha_{1,i+2}}^-(1)]_{\langle \omega'_i, \omega_{\alpha_{1,i+2}} \rangle} = [x_i^-(0), x_{\alpha_{1,i+2}}^-(1)] = 0$ ($i \geq 2$), if $r \neq -s$.

By definition, we note that $[b, a]_u = -u [a, b]_{u^{-1}}$. So, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
[x_i^-(0), \underbrace{x_{i+1}^-(0), x_i^-(0)}_{(s,r^{-1})}]_{(s,r^{-1})} &= -s [x_i^-(0), x_i^-(0), x_{i+1}^-(0)]_{(s^{-1},r^{-1})} \quad (\text{by (3.6)}) \\
&= -s [x_i^-(0), x_i^-(0), x_{i+1}^-(0)]_{(r^{-1},s^{-1})} \quad (\text{by (3.9)}) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We then consider the following deduction

$$\begin{aligned}
[x_i^-(0), x_{\alpha_{1,i+2}}^-(1)]_{r^{-1}s} &= [x_i^-(0), \underbrace{[x_{i+1}^-(0), [x_i^-(0), x_{\alpha_{1i}}^-(1)]_s]_s}_{\text{(by (3.3))}}]_{r^{-1}s} \\
&= \underbrace{[x_i^-(0), [x_{i+1}^-(0), x_i^-(0)]_s, x_{\alpha_{1i}}^-(1)]_s}_{\text{(using (3.3))}}]_{r^{-1}s} \\
&\quad + s[x_i^-(0), \underbrace{[x_i^-(0), [x_{i+1}^-(0), x_{\alpha_{1i}}^-(1)]_s]}_{\text{(this term=0 by (3.5), (D9_1))}}]_{r^{-1}s} \\
&= [\underbrace{[x_i^-(0), x_{i+1}^-(0), x_i^-(0)]_{(s, r^{-1})}}_{\text{(this term=0 by the above)}}, x_{\alpha_{1i}}^-(1)]_{s^2} \\
&\quad + r^{-1} [x_{i+1}^-(0), x_i^-(0)]_s, x_{\alpha_{1,i+1}}^-(1)]_{rs} \quad \text{(using (3.4))} \\
&= r^{-1} [x_{i+1}^-(0), \underbrace{[x_i^-(0), x_{\alpha_{1,i+1}}^-(1)]_r}_{\text{(this term=0 by the above)}}]_{s^2} + [x_{\alpha_{1,i+2}}^-(1), x_i^-(0)]_{r^{-1}s} \\
&= [x_{\alpha_{1,i+2}}^-(1), x_i^-(0)]_{r^{-1}s}. \quad \text{(1st term=0 by **Claim (A)**)}
\end{aligned}$$

Expanding both sides of the above equation according to definition, we easily get

$$(1 + r^{-1}s) [x_i^-(0), x_{\alpha_{1,i+2}}^-(1)] = 0.$$

Thus the required result is obtained under the assumption.

Now applying (3.5), we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
[x_i^-(0), x_{\theta}^-(1)] &= [x_{n-1}^-(0), \dots, x_{i+2}^-(0), \underbrace{[x_i^-(0), x_{\alpha_{1,i+2}}^-(1)]_s}_{\text{(by **Claim (B)**)}}]_{(s, \dots, s)} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.4. $\square$

Next, we turn to check the relation below, whose argument (using the quantum calculations) is crucial to our verification on compatibilities of the defining relations system of $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ mentioned in Remark 3.3.

PROPOSITION 4.5. $[E_0, F_0] = \frac{\omega_0 - \omega'_0}{r-s}.$

PROOF. Using (D1) & (D5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.1) \quad [E_0, F_0] &= [x_{\alpha_{1n}}^-(1) \gamma'^{-1} \omega_{\theta}^{-1}, \gamma^{-1} \omega_{\theta}'^{-1} x_{\alpha_{1n}}^+(-1)] \\
&= [x_{\alpha_{1n}}^-(1), x_{\alpha_{1n}}^+(-1)] \cdot (\gamma^{-1} \gamma'^{-1} \omega_{\theta}^{-1} \omega_{\theta}'^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for $j \geq 1$, we have

$$(4.2) \quad [x_{j+1}^-(0), \omega_j']_s = (r-s) \omega_j' x_{j+1}^-(0), \quad [x_{j+1}^-(0), \omega_j]_s = 0,$$

$$(4.3) \quad [\omega_j' X, x_{j+1}^+(k)]_r = \omega_j' [X, x_{j+1}^+(k)],$$

$$(4.4) \quad [x_j^+(k), Y \omega_{j+1}]_r = [x_j^+(k), Y] \omega_{j+1}.$$

So (4.2) implies that there hold

$$(4.5) \quad [x_{j+1}^-(0), [x_j^-(0), x_j^+(0)]_s] = \omega_j' x_{j+1}^-(0),$$

$$(4.6) \quad [x_2^-(0), [x_1^-(1), x_1^+(-1)]_s] = \gamma \omega_1' x_2^-(0),$$

$$(4.7) \quad [x_{j+1}^-(0), x_{j+1}^+(0)]_s, x_j^-(0)]_s = -x_j^-(0) \omega_{j+1}.$$

Now let us write briefly

$$[x_1^+(-1), x_2^+(0), \dots, x_{i-1}^+(0)]_{\langle r, \dots, r \rangle} := [[\dots [x_1^+(-1), x_2^+(0)]_r, \dots]_r, x_{i-1}^+(0)]_r.$$

Thus, by (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 [x_{\alpha_{1i}}^-(1), x_{\alpha_{1i}}^+(-1)] &= [x_{\alpha_{1i}}^-(1), [x_1^+(-1), x_2^+(0), \dots, x_{i-1}^+(0)]_{\langle r, \dots, r \rangle}] \\
 &= [\underbrace{[x_{\alpha_{1i}}^-(1), x_1^+(-1)]}_{(4.8)}, x_2^+(0), \dots, x_{i-1}^+(0)]_{\langle r, \dots, r \rangle} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=2}^{i-1} [x_1^+(-1), x_2^+(0), \dots, \underbrace{[x_{\alpha_{1i}}^-(1), x_j^+(0)]}_{(4.8)}, \dots]_{\langle r, \dots, r \rangle}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(i) For $j = 1$, by (3.5), (D8) & (4.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 [x_{\alpha_{1i}}^-(1), x_1^+(-1)] &= [x_{i-1}^-(0), \dots, \underbrace{[x_{i-1}^-(0), [x_1^-(1), x_1^+(-1)]]_s}_{(4.6)}]_{(s, \dots, s)} \\
 &= \gamma \omega'_1 x_{\alpha_{2i}}^-(0), \quad (i > 2)
 \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
 M(i) &:= [\underbrace{[x_{\alpha_{1i}}^-(1), x_1^+(-1)]}_{(4.8)}, x_2^+(0), \dots, x_{i-1}^+(0)]_{\langle r, \dots, r \rangle} \\
 &= \gamma [\underbrace{[\omega'_1 x_{\alpha_{2i}}^-(0), x_2^+(0)]_r}_{(4.6)}, \dots, x_{i-1}^+(0)]_{\langle r, \dots, r \rangle} \\
 &= \gamma \omega'_1 [\underbrace{[x_{\alpha_{2i}}^-(0), x_2^+(0)]_r}_{(4.6)}, \dots, x_{i-1}^+(0)]_{\langle r, \dots, r \rangle} \\
 &= \gamma \omega'_1 [\underbrace{[\omega'_2 x_{\alpha_{3i}}^-(0), x_3^+(0)]_r}_{(4.6)}, \dots, x_{i-1}^+(0)]_{\langle r, \dots, r \rangle} \\
 &= \gamma \omega'_1 \omega'_2 [\underbrace{[x_{\alpha_{3i}}^-(0), x_3^+(0)]_r}_{(4.6)}, \dots, x_{i-1}^+(0)]_{\langle r, \dots, r \rangle} \\
 &= \dots \\
 &= \gamma \omega'_1 \dots \omega'_{i-2} [x_{i-1}^-(0), x_{i-1}^+(0)] \\
 &= \gamma \omega'_1 \dots \omega'_{i-2} \frac{\omega'_{i-1} - \omega_{i-1}}{r - s}, \quad (i > 2)
 \end{aligned}$$

where we used the following identities, respectively

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\omega'_{j-1} x_{\alpha_{ji}}^-(0), x_j^+(0)]_r &= \omega'_{j-1} [x_{\alpha_{ji}}^-(0), x_j^+(0)], \quad (\text{by (4.3)}) \\
 [x_{\alpha_{ji}}^-(0), x_j^+(0)] &= \omega'_j x_{\alpha_{j+1,i}}^-(0), \quad (\text{by (3.13) \& (4.5)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

(ii) For $j = i - 1$, again by (3.5), (3.3) & (4.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 [x_{\alpha_{1i}}^-(1), x_{i-1}^+(0)] &= [\underbrace{[x_{i-1}^-(0), x_{i-1}^+(0)], [x_{i-2}^-(0), x_{\alpha_{1,i-2}}^-(1)]_s}_s]_s \quad (\text{by (3.3)}) \\
 &= [\underbrace{[x_{i-1}^-(0), x_{i-1}^+(0)], x_{i-2}^-(0)]_s}_{(4.7)}, x_{\alpha_{1,i-2}}^-(1)]_s \quad (\text{by (4.7)}) \\
 &\quad + s [x_{i-2}^-(0), \underbrace{[x_{i-1}^-(0), x_{i-1}^+(0)], x_{\alpha_{1,i-2}}^-(1)]}_{(4.7)}] \quad (= 0) \\
 &= -[x_{i-2}^-(0) \omega_{i-1}, x_{\alpha_{1,i-2}}^-(1)]_s \\
 &= -[x_{i-2}^-(0), x_{\alpha_{1,i-2}}^-(1)]_s \omega_{i-1} \\
 &= -x_{\alpha_{1,i-1}}^-(1) \omega_{i-1},
 \end{aligned}$$

where we notice that (*): $[x_{i-1}^-(0), x_{i-1}^+(0)], x_{\alpha_{1,i-2}}^-(1)] = 0$.

Thereby, we further obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
N(i) &:= [x_1^+(-1), x_2^+(0), \dots, \underbrace{[x_{\alpha_{1i}}^-(1), x_{i-1}^+(0)]}_{\langle r, \dots, r \rangle}, \dots] \\
&= - \underbrace{[x_{\alpha_{1,i-1}}^+(-1), x_{\alpha_{1,i-1}}^-(1) \omega_{i-1}]_r}_{\text{(by (4.4))}} \\
&= - [x_{\alpha_{1,i-1}}^+(-1), x_{\alpha_{1,i-1}}^-(1)] \omega_{i-1} \\
&= [x_{\alpha_{1,i-1}}^-(1), x_{\alpha_{1,i-1}}^+(-1)] \omega_{i-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

(iii) For $1 < j < i-1$, by (3.5), (3.3), (4.7) & (D9₁), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
[x_{\alpha_{1i}}^-(1), x_j^+(0)] &= [x_{i-1}^-(0), \dots, \underbrace{[x_j^-(0), x_j^+(0)], [x_{j-1}^-(0), x_{\alpha_{1,j-1}}^-(1)]_s}_s]_{(s, \dots, s)} \\
&\quad \text{(by (3.3))} \\
&= [x_{i-1}^-(0), \dots, \underbrace{[x_j^-(0), x_j^+(0)], x_{j-1}^-(0)]_s}_s, x_{\alpha_{1,j-1}}^-(1)]_{(s, \dots, s)} \\
&\quad \text{(by (4.7))} \\
&\quad + s [x_{i-1}^-(0), \dots, \underbrace{[x_{j-1}^-(0), [x_j^-(0), x_j^+(0)], x_{\alpha_{1,j-1}}^-(1)]_s}_s]_{(s, \dots, s)} \\
&\quad \quad \quad (= 0 \text{ by } (*)) \\
&= - [x_i^-(0), \dots, x_{j+1}^-(0), \underbrace{[x_{j-1}^-(0) \omega_j, x_{\alpha_{1,j-1}}^-(1)]_s}_s]_{(s, \dots, s)} \\
&= - [x_i^-(0), \dots, \underbrace{[x_{j+1}^-(0), x_{\alpha_{1j}}^-(1) \omega_j]_s}_s]_{(s, \dots, s)} \\
&= - [x_i^-(0), \dots, \underbrace{[x_{j+1}^-(0), x_{\alpha_{1j}}^-(1)] \omega_j}_s]_{(s, \dots, s)} \quad \text{(by (3.5), (D9₁))} \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where in forth and fifth equality “=” we used the following identities, respectively

$$\begin{aligned}
[x_{j-1}^-(0) \omega_j, x_{\alpha_{1,j-1}}^-(1)]_s &= [x_{j-1}^-(0), x_{\alpha_{1,j-1}}^-(1)]_s \omega_j = x_{\alpha_{1j}}^-(1) \omega_j, \\
[x_{j+1}^-(0), x_{\alpha_{1j}}^-(1) \omega_j]_s &= [x_{j+1}^-(0), x_{\alpha_{1j}}^-(1)] \omega_j.
\end{aligned}$$

As a result of (i), (ii) & (iii), (4.8) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.9) \quad [x_{\alpha_{1i}}^-(1), x_{\alpha_{1i}}^+(-1)] &= M(i) + N(i) \\
&= M(i) + [x_{\alpha_{1,i-1}}^-(1), x_{\alpha_{1,i-1}}^+(-1)] \omega_{i-1} \\
&= M(i) + M(i-1) \omega_{i-1} + [x_{\alpha_{1,i-2}}^-(1), x_{\alpha_{1,i-2}}^+(-1)] \omega_{i-2} \omega_{i-1} \\
&= \dots \\
&= M(i) + M(i-1) \omega_{i-1} + M(i-2) \omega_{i-2} \omega_{i-1} + \dots \\
&\quad + M(3) \omega_3 \dots \omega_{i-1} + [x_{\alpha_{12}}^-(1), x_{\alpha_{12}}^+(-1)] \omega_2 \dots \omega_{i-1} \\
&= \frac{\gamma \omega'_{\alpha_{1i}} - \gamma' \omega_{\alpha_{1i}}}{r-s}, \quad (i > 1),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used (D8) to get

$$[x_{\alpha_{12}}^-(1), x_{\alpha_{12}}^+(-1)] = \frac{\gamma \omega'_1 - \gamma' \omega_1}{r-s}.$$

Therefore, by (4.9), (4.1) takes the required formula:

$$[E_0, F_0] = \frac{\gamma'^{-1} \omega_\theta^{-1} - \gamma^{-1} \omega_\theta'^{-1}}{r - s}.$$

The proof of Proposition 4.5 is complete. $\square$

For (A5): we need only to verify that $[E_0, E_j] = 0$ and $[F_0, F_j] = 0$ for $1 < j < n-1$. Actually, in the proof of Proposition 4.5, the fact that $[x_{\alpha_{1i}}^-(1), x_j^+(0)] = 0$ for $1 < j < i-1$ implies the first identity (taking $i = n$) since $[E_0, E_j] = [x_\theta^-(1), x_j^+(0)] \cdot \gamma'^{-1} \omega_\theta^{-1}$. The second can be obtained utilizing τ on the first one. $\square$

For (A6): when $i \cdot j \neq 0$, (D9_n) implies that the corresponding generators satisfy exactly those (r, s) -Serre relations in $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$. So, it is enough to check the (r, s) -Serre relations involving the indices with $i \cdot j = 0$.

- LEMMA 4.6. (1) $E_0 E_1^2 - (r+s) E_1 E_0 E_1 + (rs) E_1^2 E_0 = 0$,
 (2) $E_0^2 E_1 - (r+s) E_0 E_1 E_0 + (rs) E_1 E_0^2 = 0$,
 (3) $E_{n-1}^2 E_0 - (r+s) E_{n-1} E_0 E_{n-1} + (rs) E_0 E_{n-1}^2 = 0$,
 (4) $E_{n-1} E_0^2 - (r+s) E_0 E_{n-1} E_0 + (rs) E_0^2 E_{n-1} = 0$,
 (5) $F_1^2 F_0 - (r+s) F_1 F_0 F_1 + (rs) F_0 F_1^2 = 0$,
 (6) $F_1 F_0^2 - (r+s) F_0 F_1 F_0 + (rs) F_0^2 F_1 = 0$,
 (7) $F_0 F_{n-1}^2 - (r+s) F_{n-1} F_0 F_{n-1} + (rs) F_{n-1}^2 F_0 = 0$,
 (8) $F_0^2 F_{n-1} - (r+s) F_0 F_{n-1} F_0 + (rs) F_{n-1} F_0^2 = 0$.

PROOF. The proofs for the relations of (5)–(8) follow from taking τ on the first four relations (1)–(4). We shall demonstrate the first two (r, s) -Serre relations, the third and forth ones are similar to the first two relations (1) & (2), which are left to the reader.

(1) Observing

$$\begin{aligned} [E_1, x_\theta^-(1)] &= [x_{n-1}^-(0), \dots, \underbrace{[x_1^+(0), x_1^-(1)]}_{(s, \dots, s)}] \quad (\text{using (D8)}) \\ &= \gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} [x_{n-1}^-(0), \dots, \underbrace{[x_2^-(0), \omega_1 a_1(1)]}_s]_{(s, \dots, s)} \quad (\text{using (D5)}) \\ &= \gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} s \omega_1 [x_{n-1}^-(0), \dots, \underbrace{[x_2^-(0), a_1(1)]}_{(s, \dots, s)}] \quad (\text{using (D6)}) \\ &= -(rs)^{-\frac{1}{2}} x_{\alpha_{2n}}^-(1) \omega_1, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &E_0 E_1^2 - (r+s) E_1 E_0 E_1 + (rs) E_1^2 E_0 \\ &= (rs) \left(E_1^2 x_\theta^-(1) - (1+r^{-1}s) E_1 x_\theta^-(1) E_1 + (r^{-1}s) x_\theta^-(1) E_1^2 \right) (\gamma'^{-1} \omega_\theta^{-1}) \\ &= (rs) [E_1, \underbrace{[E_1, x_\theta^-(1)]}_{r^{-1}s} (\gamma'^{-1} \omega_\theta^{-1})] \quad (\text{by (3.6)}) \\ &= -(rs)^{\frac{1}{2}} \underbrace{[x_1^+(0), x_{\alpha_{2n}}^-(1) \omega_1]_{r^{-1}s}}_{(s, \dots, s)} (\gamma'^{-1} \omega_\theta^{-1}) \\ &= -(rs)^{\frac{1}{2}} \underbrace{[x_1^+(0), x_{\alpha_{2n}}^-(1)]}_{(s, \dots, s)} \omega_1 (\gamma'^{-1} \omega_\theta^{-1}) \\ &= 0. \quad (\text{by (3.5), (D8)}) \end{aligned}$$

(2) Using the formula of $[E_1, x_\theta^-(1)]$ derived in (1) above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& E_0^2 E_1 - (r+s)E_0 E_1 E_0 + (rs) E_1 E_0^2 \\
&= (rs) [x_\theta^-(1), \underbrace{x_\theta^-(1), E_1}_{(1, rs^{-1})} (\gamma'^{-2} \omega_\theta^{-2})] \\
&= (rs)^{\frac{1}{2}} [x_\theta^-(1), x_{\alpha_{2n}}^-(1) \omega_1]_{rs^{-1}} (\gamma'^{-2} \omega_\theta^{-2}) \\
&= (rs)^{\frac{1}{2}} [x_\theta^-(1), x_{\alpha_{2n}}^-(1)]_{s^{-1}} \omega_1 (\gamma'^{-2} \omega_\theta^{-2}) \\
&= -(rs^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} [\underbrace{x_{\alpha_{2n}}^-(1), x_\theta^-(1)]_s}_{(1, rs^{-1})} \omega_1 (\gamma'^{-2} \omega_\theta^{-2}) \\
&= 0, \quad (\text{by } \mathbf{Claim (C)} \text{ below})
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the following claim:

Claim (C): $[x_{\alpha_{2n}}^-(1), x_{\alpha_{1n}}^-(1)]_s = 0$, for $n > 2$ and $r \neq -s$.

The argument for Claim (C) is technical. Indeed, by induction on n , we have:
When $n = 3$, by (3.8), one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
[x_2^-(1), x_{\alpha_{13}}^-(1)]_s &= [x_2^-(1), \underbrace{[x_2^-(0), x_1^-(1)]_s}_{(1, rs^{-1})}]_s \quad (\text{by (3.8)}) \\
&= -(rs)^{\frac{1}{2}} [x_2^-(1), \underbrace{[x_1^-(0), x_2^-(1)]_{r^{-1}}}_{(1, rs^{-1})}]_s \\
&= (rs^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} [x_2^-(1), x_2^-(1), x_1^-(0)]_{(r,s)} \\
&= (rs^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} [x_2^-(1), x_2^-(1), x_1^-(0)]_{(s,r)} \quad (\text{by (3.6)}) \\
&= 0, \quad (\text{by (3.9)})
\end{aligned}$$

which is exactly the (r, s) -Serre relation (see (3.9)).

While for $n > 3$, we first notice the fact:

$$(4.10) \quad [x_{n-1}^-(0), x_{\alpha_{2n}}^-(1)]_{\langle \omega'_{n-1}, \omega_{\alpha_{2n}} \rangle} = [x_{n-1}^-(0), x_{\alpha_{2n}}^-(1)]_r = 0, \quad \text{for } n > 3,$$

which can be proved using the same method of the proof of (II) in Lemma 4.4.

We thus have

$$\begin{aligned}
[x_{\alpha_{2n}}^-(1), x_\theta^-(1)]_r &= [[x_{n-1}^-(0), x_{\alpha_{2,n-1}}^-(1)]_s, x_\theta^-(1)]_r \quad (\text{by (3.4)}) \\
&= [x_{n-1}^-(0), [x_{\alpha_{2,n-1}}^-(1), x_\theta^-(1)]_1]_{rs} \\
&\quad + [\underbrace{[x_{n-1}^-(0), x_\theta^-(1)]_r}_{(1, rs^{-1})}, x_{\alpha_{2,n-1}}^-(1)]_s \quad (= 0 \text{ by } \mathbf{Claim (A)}) \\
&= [x_{n-1}^-(0), \underbrace{[x_{\alpha_{2,n-1}}^-(1), [x_{n-1}^-(0), x_{\alpha_{1,n-1}}^-(1)]_s]_1}_{(1, rs^{-1})}]_{rs} \quad (\text{by (3.3)}) \\
&= [x_{n-1}^-(0), [\underbrace{[x_{\alpha_{2,n-1}}^-(1), x_{n-1}^-(0)]_{s^{-1}}}_{(1, rs^{-1})}, x_{\alpha_{1,n-1}}^-(1)]_{s^2}]_{rs} \\
&\quad + s^{-1} [x_{n-1}^-(0), [x_{n-1}^-(0), \underbrace{[x_{\alpha_{2,n-1}}^-(1), x_{\alpha_{1,n-1}}^-(1)]_s}_{(1, rs^{-1})}]_{s^2}]_{rs} \\
&\quad \quad \quad (\text{2nd sumand} = 0 \text{ using induction hypothesis}) \\
&= -s^{-1} [x_{n-1}^-(0), [x_{\alpha_{2n}}^-(1), x_{\alpha_{1,n-1}}^-(1)]_{s^2}]_{rs} \quad (\text{by (3.3)})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -s^{-1} \left[\underbrace{[x_{n-1}^-(0), x_{\alpha_{2n}}^-(1)]_r, x_{\alpha_{1,n-1}}^-(1)}_{s^3} \right]_{s^3} \quad (\text{by (4.10)}) \\
&\quad - rs^{-1} \left[x_{\alpha_{2n}}^-(1), \underbrace{[x_{n-1}^-(0), x_{\alpha_{1,n-1}}^-(1)]_s}_{r^{-1}s^2} \right]_{r^{-1}s^2} \quad (\text{by definition}) \\
&= -rs^{-1} [x_{\alpha_{2n}}^-(1), x_{\theta}^-(1)]_{r^{-1}s^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By definition, expanding both sides of the above identity gives us

$$(1 + rs^{-1}) x_{\alpha_{2n}}^-(1) x_{\alpha_{1n}}^-(1) = (r + s) x_{\alpha_{1n}}^-(1) x_{\alpha_{2n}}^-(1),$$

which means $[x_{\alpha_{2n}}^-(1), x_{\alpha_{1n}}^-(1)]_s = 0$, under the assumption $r \neq -s$.

For (A7): the verification is analogous to that of (A6). $\square$

4.3. Proof of Theorem 4.2. We shall show that the algebra $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ is generated by $E_i, F_i, \omega_i^{\pm 1}, \omega'_i, \gamma^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}, \gamma', D^{\pm 1}, D'$ ($i \in I_0$).

To this end, we need to prove the following results.

LEMMA 4.7. (1) $x_1^-(1) = [E_2, E_3, \dots, E_{n-1}, E_0]_{(r, \dots, r)} \gamma' \omega_1 \in \mathcal{U}'_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$, then for any $i \in I$, $x_i^-(1) \in \mathcal{U}'_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$.
(2) $x_1^+(-1) = \tau([E_2, E_3, \dots, E_{n-1}, E_0]_{(r, \dots, r)} \gamma' \omega_1) = \gamma \omega_1 [F_0, F_{n-1}, \dots, F_3, F_2]_{(s, \dots, s)} \in \mathcal{U}'_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$, then for any $i \in I$, $x_i^+(-1) \in \mathcal{U}'_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$.

PROOF. (1) Set $\tilde{E}(i) = x_{\alpha_{1,i+1}}^-(1) \omega_{i+1} \cdots \omega_{n-1} \gamma'^{-1} \omega_{\theta}^{-1}$ for $i \geq 1$, where $\tilde{E}(n-1) = E_0$. Observing that $[x_i^+(0), x_{\alpha_{1,i+1}}^-(1)] = x_{\alpha_{1i}}^-(1) \omega_i$ in the proof (see, case (ii)) of Proposition 4.5, we get an important recursive relation:

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.11) \quad [E_i, \tilde{E}(i)]_r &= [x_i^+(0), x_{\alpha_{1,i+1}}^-(1) \omega_{i+1} \cdots \omega_{n-1} \gamma'^{-1} \omega_{\theta}^{-1}]_r \\
&= [x_i^+(0), x_{\alpha_{1,i+1}}^-(1)] \omega_{i+1} \cdots \omega_{n-1} \gamma'^{-1} \omega_{\theta}^{-1} \\
&= \tilde{E}(i-1).
\end{aligned}$$

Recursively using the above relations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.12) \quad x_1^-(1) &= \tilde{E}(1) \gamma' \omega_1 = [E_2, \tilde{E}(2)]_r \gamma' \omega_1 = \cdots \\
&= [E_2, \dots, E_{n-1}, \tilde{E}(n-1)]_{(r, \dots, r)} \gamma' \omega_1 \\
&= [E_2, \dots, E_{n-1}, E_0]_{(r, \dots, r)} \gamma' \omega_1 \\
&\in \mathcal{U}'_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that we already have obtained $x_i^-(1) \in \mathcal{U}'_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ for $i \geq 1$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.13) \quad x_{i+1}^-(1) &= (rs) \underbrace{[x_i^+(0), x_i^-(0)], x_{i+1}^-(1)]_{r^{-1}}}_{\omega_i^{-1}} \quad (\text{by (3.4)}) \\
&= (rs) [x_i^+(0), \underbrace{x_i^-(0), x_{i+1}^-(1)}_{(r^{-1}, 1)}]_{(r^{-1}, 1)} \omega_i^{-1} \quad (\text{by (3.8)}) \\
&= -(rs)^{\frac{1}{2}} [x_i^+(0), x_{i+1}^-(0), x_i^-(1)]_{(s, 1)} \omega_i^{-1} \\
&= (rs)^{\frac{1}{2}} [F_{i+1}, x_i^-(1)]_s, E_i \omega_i^{-1} \\
&\in \mathcal{U}'_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n),
\end{aligned}$$

which gives rise to the recursive construction of some basic quantum real root vectors of level 1. Hence, we obtain the required result.

(2) Set $\tilde{F}(i) = \tau(\tilde{E}(i)) = \gamma^{-1}\omega_\theta'^{-1}\omega_{n-1}' \cdots \omega_{i+1}' x_{\alpha_{1,i+1}}^+(-1)$ for $i \geq 1$, where $\tilde{F}(n-1) = F_0$. Applying τ to (4.11), we see that $[\tilde{F}(i), F_i]_s = \tilde{F}(i-1)$ and $\tilde{F}(1) = \gamma^{-1}\omega_1'^{-1}x_1^+(-1)$, which implies the first claim.

The remaining claim follows from

$$(4.14) \quad x_{i+1}^+(-1) = \tau(x_{i+1}^-(1)) = (rs)^{\frac{1}{2}}\omega_i'^{-1} [F_i, [x_i^+(-1), E_{i+1}]_r] \in \mathcal{U}'_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.7. $\square$

We observe that Lemma 4.7, together with (4.12), (4.13) & (4.14), gives the construction of the Drinfel'd generators of level 1. Furthermore, the first conclusion of the following Lemma gives the the construction of the quantum imaginary root vectors of any level ($\neq 0$), while the second gives the construction of some basic quantum real root vectors of any level.

Actually, as a result of Definition 3.9 and Lemma 4.8 below, this approach also gives the construction of all quantum real root vectors of any level.

LEMMA 4.8. (1) $a_i(\ell) \in \mathcal{U}'_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$, for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.
 (2) $x_i^\pm(k) \in \mathcal{U}'_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

PROOF. (1) At first, it follows from (D8) that

$$(4.15) \quad a_i(1) = \omega_i'^{-1}\gamma^{1/2} [x_i^+(0), x_i^-(1)] \in \mathcal{U}'_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n),$$

$$(4.16) \quad a_i(-1) = \omega_i'^{-1}\gamma^{1/2} [x_i^+(-1), x_i^-(0)] = \tau(a_i(1)) \in \mathcal{U}'_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n).$$

Suppose that we have already obtained $a_i(\pm \ell') \in \mathcal{U}'_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ for all $\ell' \leq \ell$ and some $\ell \geq 1$.

Now using (D6_n) & (D8), we have the following expansion (in fact, the expansions of both sides are the same which also show the compatibility between (D6_n) and (D8) for $n = 1, 2$):

$$(4.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathcal{U}'_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n) \ni [x_i^+(0), [a_i(\ell), x_i^-(1)]] \\ &= [[x_i^+(0), a_i(\ell)], x_i^-(1)] + [a_i(\ell), [x_i^+(0), x_i^-(1)]] \\ &= * \gamma'^{\frac{\ell}{2}} [x_i^+(\ell), x_i^-(1)] \\ &+ [a_i(\ell), \gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} \omega_i a_i(1)] \quad (\text{this term} = 0 \text{ by (D2)}) \\ &= * (\gamma \gamma')^{-\frac{\ell}{2}} \gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} \omega_i \left[a_i(\ell+1) + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq \ell+1 \\ \sum_k \ell_k = \ell+1}} *' (r-s)^{p-1} a_i(\ell_{j_1}) \cdots a_i(\ell_{j_p}) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where scalars $*$, $*' \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$. So $a_i(\ell+1) \in \mathcal{U}'_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$.

Applying τ to the above formula, we can get $a_i(-(\ell+1)) \in \mathcal{U}'_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$. Thereby, $a_i(\ell) \in \mathcal{U}'_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$, for any $\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.

(2) follows from (D6) (setting $i = j$ and $k = 0$), together with (1). $\square$

Therefore, we have proved $\mathcal{U}'_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n) = \mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$, that is to say, the latter is indeed generated by $E_i, F_i, \omega_i^{\pm 1}, \omega_i'^{\pm 1}, \gamma^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}, \gamma'^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}, D^{\pm 1}, D'^{\pm 1}$ ($i \in I_0$). $\square$

4.4 Proof of Theorem 4.3. From 4.2 & 4.3, we actually get an algebra epimorphism $\Psi : \mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$, since both algebras have the essentially same generators system enjoying with the defining relations from the former.

Notice that both algebras $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ and $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ have commonly a natural Q -gradation structure (see Corollary 2.8), which is by definition preserved evidently under Ψ . On the other hand, both toral subalgebras $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)^0$ and $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)^0$ generated by the same generators system of group-like elements

$$\{ \omega_i^{\pm 1}, \omega'_i{}^{\pm 1} (i \in I_0), \gamma^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}, \gamma'^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}, D^{\pm 1}, D'^{\pm 1} \}$$

are obviously isomorphic with respect to $\Psi^0 := \Psi|_{U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)^0}$.

Assigned to the positive or negative nilpotent Lie subalgebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^\pm$ of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n$ are two subalgebras $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^\pm)$ and $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^\pm)$. Both are generated by $\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^\pm$ in $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ and $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ respectively. Denote $\Psi^\pm := \Psi|_{U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^\pm)}$. By Corollary 2.7, the double structure of $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ in Theorem 2.5 implies its triangular decomposition structure $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^-) \otimes U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)^0 \otimes U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^+)$. This fact likewise indicates that Ψ has a corresponding decomposition $\Psi^- \otimes \Psi^0 \otimes \Psi^+$. So, we are left to show $\Psi^\pm$ are isomorphic. It suffices to consider the epimorphism $\Psi^+ : U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^+) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^+)$.

Observe that $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^+)$ (resp. $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^+)$) is generated by elements e_i (resp. E_i) for $i \in I_0$ and subject to (r, s) -Serre relations (A5) & (A6). To check that Ψ^+ is an isomorphism, now we fix $r = q$ and specialize s at q^{-1} as follows.

Note that $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^+)$ can be viewed as to be defined over the Laurent polynomials ring $\mathbb{Q}[r^{\pm 1}, s^{\pm 1}]$. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{Q}(r, s)$ be the localization of ring $\mathbb{Q}[r^{\pm 1}, s^{\pm 1}]$ at the maximal ideal $(rs - 1)$. Let $U_{\mathcal{A}}^+$ be the $\mathcal{A}$ -subalgebra of $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^+)$ generated by e_i ($i \in I_0$). Let $(rs - 1)U_{\mathcal{A}}^+$ be the ideal generated by $(rs - 1)$ in $U_{\mathcal{A}}^+$. Define the algebra U_q^+ , the specialization of $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^+)$ at $s = q^{-1}$, by $U_q^+ = U_{\mathcal{A}}^+ / (rs - 1)U_{\mathcal{A}}^+$. Obviously, $U_q^+ \cong U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^+)$, the usual one-parameter quantum subalgebra of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$. However, in this case, Ψ^+ induces the isomorphism $\Psi^+ : U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^+) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^+)$ given by the Drinfel'd isomorphism in the one-parameter case (see [B2] or [J2]).

Since specialization doesn't change the root multiplicities, $\Psi^+ : U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^+) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^+)$ is an isomorphism. $\square$

Up to now, from subsections 4.2 — 4.4, we have finally established the Drinfel'd isomorphism in the two-parameter case.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Part of this work was done when Hu visited l’DMA, l’Ecole Normale Supérieure de Paris from October to November, 2004, the Fachbereich Mathematik der Universität Hamburg from November 2004 to February 2005, as well as the ICTP (Trieste, Italy) from March to August, 2006. He would like to express his deep thanks to ENS de Paris, H. Strade and ICTP for the hospitalities and the supports from ENS, DFG and ICTP. Authors are indebted to the referee for the useful comments.

References

- [B1] Beck, J., *Convex bases of PBW type for quantum affine algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **165** (1994), 193–199.
- [B2] Beck, J., *Braid group action and quantum affine algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **165** (1994), 555–568.
- [BGH1] Bergeron, N.; Gao, Y.; Hu, N., *Drinfel’d doubles and Lusztig’s symmetries of two-parameter quantum groups*, arXiv.math.RT/0505614, J. Algebra **301** (2006), 378–405.
- [BGH2] Bergeron, N.; Gao, Y.; Hu, N., *Representations of two-parameter quantum orthogonal and symplectic groups*, arXiv.math.QA/0510124, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, “Proceedings of the International Conference on Complex Geometry and Related Fields”, Vol. **39** (2007), 1–21.
- [BH] Bai, X.; Hu, N., *Two-parameter quantum groups of exceptional type E-series and convex PBW-type basis*, arXiv.math.QA/0605179, Algebra Colloquium, **15:4** (2008), 619–636.
- [BW1] Benkart, G.; Witherspoon, S., *Two-parameter quantum groups (of type A) and Drinfel’d doubles*, Alg. Rep. Theory **7** (2004), 261–286.
- [BW2] Benkart, G.; Witherspoon, S., *Representations of two-parameter quantum groups (of type A) and Schur-Weyl duality*, Hopf algebras, 62–92, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., **237**, Dekker, New York, 2004.
- [BW3] Benkart, G.; Witherspoon, S., *Restricted two-parameter quantum groups (of type A)*, Fields Institute Communications, “Representations of Finite Dimensional Algebras and Related Topics in Lie Theory and Geometry”, Vol. **40** (2004), 293–318.
- [Da] Damiani, I., *A basis of type Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt for the quantum algebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)$* , J. Algebra **161** (1993), 291–310.
- [DI1] Ding, J. T.; Iohara, K., *Generalization of Drinfel’d quantum affine algebras*, Lett. Math. Phys. **41** (2) (1997), 181–193.
- [DI2] Ding, J. T.; Iohara, K., *Drinfel’d comultiplication and vertex operators*, J. Geom. Phys. **23** (1997), 1–13.
- [Dr1] Drinfel’d, V. G., *Quantum groups*, ICM Proceedings (New York, Berkeley, 1986), 798–820.
- [Dr2] Drinfel’d, V. G., *A new realization of Yangians and quantized affine algebras*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **36** (1988), 212–216.
- [FJ] Frenkel, I.; Jing, N., *Vertex representations of quantum affine algebras*, Proc. Nat’l. Acad. Sci. USA. **85** (1998), 9373–9377.
- [G] Grossé, P., *On quantum shuffle and quantum affine algebras*, IRMA-Preprint 39, Strasbourg, (2001).
- [Ga] Garland, H., *The arithmetic theory of loop algebras*, J. Algebra **53** (1978), 480–551.
- [H] Hu, N., *Quantum divided power algebra, q-derivatives, and some new quantum groups*, J. Algebra **232** (2000), 507–540.
- [HS] Hu, N.; Shi, Q., *The two-parameter quantum group of exceptional type G_2 and Lusztig’s symmetries*, arXiv.math.QA/0601444, Pacific J. Math. **230** (2007), 327–345.
- [J1] Jing, N., *Twisted vertex representations of quantum affine algebras*, Invent. Math. **102** (1990), 663–690.
- [J2] Jing, N., *On Drinfel’d realization of quantum affine algebras*, Ohio State Univ. Math. Res. Inst. Publ. de Gruyter, Berlin, **7** (1998), 195–206.
- [K] Kac, V., *Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras*, 3rd edition, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990.

- [KS] Klimyk, A.; Schmüdgen, K., *Quantum Groups and Their Representations*, Springer, Berlin, (1997).
- [KT] Khoroshkin, S.M.; Tolstoy, V.N., *On Drinfel'd realization of quantum affine algebras*, J. Geom. Phys. **11** (1993), 445–452.
- [LR] Lalonde, M.; Ram, A., *Standard Lyndon bases of Lie algebras and enveloping algebras*, Trans. Ams. Math. Soc., **347** (5) (1995), 1821–1830.
- [LSS] Levendorskii, S.; Soibelman, Y.; Stukopin, V., *Quantum Weyl group and universal quantum R -matrix for affine Lie algebra $A_1^{(1)}$* , Lett. Math. Phys. **27** (4) (1993), 253–264.
- [R1] Rosso, M., *Quantum groups and quantum shuffles*, Invent. Math. **133** (2) (1998), 399–416.
- [R2] Rosso, M., *Lyndon bases and the multiplicative formula for R -matrices*, (2002), preprint.
- [T] Takeuchi, M., *A two-parameter quantization of $GL(n)$* , Proc. Japan Acad. **66** Ser. A (1990), 112–114.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, EAST CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200062, PR CHINA

E-mail address: nhhu@math.ecnu.edu.cn

DÉPARTEMENT MATHÉMATIQUES ET APPLICATIONS, ÉCOLE NORMALE SUPÉRIEURE, 45 RUE DE ULM, 75230 PARIS CEDEX 05, FRANCE

E-mail address: Marc.Rosso@ens.fr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, EAST CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200062, PR CHINA

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, PR China

E-mail address: hlzhangmath@shu.edu.cn